

Corrections/Additions – For Indian Edition

Page No. / Line No. / Present Text / Corrected Text

1) p. 6, Exercise 1.C.6(8), erase in the 2nd sentence the part " \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R and " .

2) p.10, just before Corollary 1.E.5: Write "..., and is usually denoted by \overline{A} ." instead of "..., and is usually denoted \overline{A} . "

3) p.24, in the line 5 from above, replace the text " Moreover, if each if each $V_K(F_i)$ is infinite, then by by Exercise 2.B.14 (4), " by the following text: " Moreover, if each $V_K(F_i)$ is infinite, then the ideal $\mathfrak{I}_K(V_K(F))$ of all polynomials in $K[X, Y]$ which vanish on $V_K(F)$ coincides with the ideal $(\text{red}F)$ because, by Exercise 2.B.14 (4), "

4) p.29, in the line 1 from above, after " such that " add " the ideal "

5) p.29, in the line 2 from below, replace " $R \cap K[Z] \neq 0$ " by " $\mathfrak{r} \cap K[Z] \neq 0$ "

6) p.33 in the line 8 from above replace " $\mathbb{K}^n \times K$ " by " $\mathbb{K}^n \times \mathbb{K}$ "

7) p.43 in the line 2 from above, replace " $V(\sum_{j \in I} Rf_j)$ " by " $V(\sum_{j \in J} Rf_j)$ "

8) p. 43 in the lines 16 (once), 15 (once), 14 (thrice), 11 (once), 7 (once) from below, replace " \mathfrak{J} " by " \mathfrak{I} "

9) p.47, at the end of Exercise 3.A.20 add the following part:

"(7) If $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism then $\overline{\varphi^*(V(\mathfrak{b}))} = V(\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}))$ for any ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq S$. (**Hint:** $\varphi^{-1}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}) = \sqrt{\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})}$.)"

10) p.53, line 4,5 from below: Write "... exchange lemma which is proved easily by induction on n :" instead of "... exchange lemma which is proved by induction on m :"

Observe the " n " instead of " m " (and the extra "easily").

11) p.59, the 2nd diagram in the display of Exercise 3.B.42: Change the direction of the two vertical arrows.

12) p.69 in the line 2 from above, replace " $s, t \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ " by " $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ "

- 13) p.76 in the lines 16 (thrice) and 17 (once) from above replace " $\text{Ann}_A x$ " by " $\text{Ann}_R x$ "
- 14) p.92, just before Exercise 5.A.13: Write "... see Exercise 5.A.16." instead of "... see Exercise 5.A.15."
- 15) p.95 in the line 10 from below replace " a weighted projective " by " the weighted projective "
- 16) p.107, in part (2) of Exercise 5.B.11, last line but one: Omit " In particular ", i.e. write " If $K = k, \dots$ " instead of " In particular, if $K = k, \dots$ ".
- 17) p.117, part (11) of Exercise 6.A.11, 3rd line from below: Put " more generally " into commas: " ..., more generally, ... "
- 18) p.117, part (12) of Exercise 6.A.11: Write " Let M be a finite module ... " instead of " Let M be a module ... ".
- 19) p.126. In Exercise 6.C.1 insert the following part (4).
 " (4) Let R be a Noetherian integral domain with an algebraically closed quotient field K . Show that $R = K$. (**Hint:** Use (2) to reduce to the case that R is a field or a discrete valuation ring.) "
- 20) p.132, in the lines 4 (once), 5 (once), 6 (once) from below, replace " $\dim_K \Omega_{K|k}$ " by " $\text{Dim}_K \Omega_{K|k}$ "
- 21) p.133, in the lines 2 (once), 8 (once) from above and in the lines 6 (once), 7 (once) and 12 (twice) from below, replace " $\dim_K \Omega_{K|k}$ " by " $\text{Dim}_K \Omega_{K|k}$ "
- 22) p.135, line 6 in Exercise 6.D.15: Insert an opening bracket: i. e., write "... cyclic k -algebra (one has to prove this)." instead of "... cyclic k -algebra one has to prove this)."
- 23) p.143, just before Example 6.E.9, add the following text immediately after " ... are coherent. " :
 "Furthermore, one sets $\text{Ass } \mathcal{F} := \{x \in X \mid \text{depth } \mathcal{F}_x = 0\}$ for any coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} , cf. Exercise 6.A.11 (8)."
- 24) p.144, the last display: In the discription of the set use " | " instead of " : ".
- 25) p.147, in the 4th line from above: Write " Corollary 7.A.2 " instead of " Theorem 7.A.2 ".
- 26) p.148, in the 3rd line from above = last line of Corollary 6.A.17: Erase " a ", i.e. write " open and ... " instead of " a open and ... ".

27) p.154, in the proof of Theorem 7.A.1:

in the 1st line: Write " ... of positive degrees d_0, \dots, d_n which ... ".

in the 3rd line of part (1): Write " ... from $s|D_+(ff_i) = 0, \dots$ " instead of " ... from $D_+(ff_i) = 0, \dots$ ", i.e. " $s|$ " is missing.

in the 4th line of part (2): Twice a lower index " i " is missing. Write in the formulas " $f_i^{r_i d}$ " instead of " $f^{r_i d}$ ".

in the last line of part (2): Erase the lower index " i " in the last formula, i.e. write " ... = $s|D_+(f)$ " instead of " ... = $s|D_+(f_i)$ ".

28) p.161, just before Exercise 7.B.4:

Add " See Exercise 7.B.5 (3) below for an example. "

29) p.164, in the diagram of the first display:

Replace " -1 " by " $-\deg T_0$ ". (This occurs twice.)

30) p.173, just after Exercise 7.D.5:

Start the new paragraph with " With the notations as in the last exercise, if X is a regular (=normal) ".

31) p.187, in the line 16 from above:

Insert " smooth ", i.e. write " ... of smooth connected projective curves ... ".

32) p.188, in the 4th line from below (not counting the footnote):

Write " ... $V_{\overline{C}}$... " instead of " ... V_C ... ".

33) p.189, in the line 14 of Example 7.E.18:

Write " ..., $V \subseteq Y$ open and affine, ... " instead of " ..., $V \subseteq Y$ open, ... "

2 lines later: Write " ... is a finite separable field extension!) ... " instead of " ... is a separable field extension!) ... "

22) p.190: just before " **7.E.20 Exercise** " Add the following text (starting with a new line):

If the field k is perfect the inequality $g_{\text{red}}(X) \geq g_{\text{red}}(Y)$ holds for an arbitrary finite morphism of normal and connected projective algebraic curves over k . For the proof it suffices, by the last remark, to consider the case that $\mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{R}(Y)[z]$ is a purely inseparable field extension of $\mathcal{R}(Y)$ of degree $p = \text{char } k > 0$ with $z^p \in \mathcal{R}(Y) \setminus \mathcal{R}(Y)^p$. Then $z^p \notin \mathcal{O}(Y) = \mathcal{O}(Y)^p$ and from the diagram of field extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{R}(Y)^p & \subseteq & \mathcal{R}(X)^p & \subseteq & \mathcal{R}(Y) & \subseteq & \mathcal{R}(X) = \mathcal{R}(Y)[z] \\ & & | \cup & & | \cup & & | \cup \\ & & k(z^p) & = & k(z^p) & \subseteq & k(z) \quad , \end{array}$$

we have

$$[\mathcal{R}(X) : k(z^p)] = [\mathcal{R}(X) : k(z)] \cdot [k(z) : k(z^p)] = [\mathcal{R}(X) : \mathcal{R}(Y)] \cdot [\mathcal{R}(Y) : k(z^p)]$$

which implies $[\mathcal{R}(X)^p : k(z^p)] = [\mathcal{R}(X) : k(z)] = [\mathcal{R}(Y) : k(z^p)]$ and hence $\mathcal{R}(X)^p = \mathcal{R}(Y)$ because of $\mathcal{R}(X)^p \subseteq \mathcal{R}(Y)$. It follows that X and Y are isomorphic as abstract curves over $k = k^p$ and that, in particular, $g_{\text{red}}(X) = g_{\text{red}}(Y)$.

Show that for a finite morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth and connected projective algebraic curves over an arbitrary field k the inequality $g_{\text{red}}(X) \geq g_{\text{red}}(Y)$ holds. (Look at the extension $X_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow Y_{\bar{k}}$ where \bar{k} is an algebraic closure of k . The curves $X_{\bar{k}}$ and $Y_{\bar{k}}$ are also smooth (but not necessarily connected).)

In general, the inequality $g_{\text{red}}(X) \geq g_{\text{red}}(Y)$ does not hold. For example, let K be a field of characteristic $p > 2$ and let S be the standardly graded normal domain $S := k[X, Y, Z]/(T_1 X^p + T_2 Y^p + Z^p)$ over the rational function field $k := K(T_1, T_2)$.¹⁵⁾ By Plücker's formula (cf. Exercise 7.E.7), $Y := \text{Proj} S$ is a normal and connected projective curve over k with $\mathcal{O}(Y) = k$ and genus $g(Y) = g_{\text{red}}(Y) = (p-1)(p-2)/2 > 0$. Further, the canonical k -algebra homomorphism $S \rightarrow R$ with $R := k'[X, Y, Z]/(T_1^{1/p} X + T_2^{1/p} Y + Z)$, $k' := k(T_1^{1/p}, T_2^{1/p})$, is finite homogeneous and defines a finite k -morphism $X := \text{Proj} R = \mathbb{P}_{k'}^1 \rightarrow Y$ with $g(X) = g_{\text{red}}(X) = 0$. In a similar way one constructs also examples in characteristic 2. – For further results and examples see Tate, J.: Genus Change in Inseparable Extensions of Function Fields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**, 400-406 (1952).

28) p.194, in the line 11 from below (not counting Footnote) replace " is normal ¹⁵⁾ " by " is normal ¹⁶⁾ "

29) p.194, in the footnote change the Footnote no. from

" ¹⁵⁾ " to " ¹⁶⁾ "

30) p.195, in the line 14 from below (not counting Footnote) replace " k -rational point P_0 ¹⁶⁾ " by " k -rational point P_0 ¹⁷⁾ "

31) p.195, in the footnote change the Footnote no. from

" ¹⁶⁾ " to " ¹⁷⁾ "

32) p.196, in the line 19 from below (not counting Footnote) replace " at P and Q ¹⁷⁾ " by " at P and Q ¹⁸⁾ "

¹⁵⁾ The normality of S can be verified, for instance, in the following way: The singular locus of the K -algebra $A := K[T_1, T_2, X, Y, Z]/(F)$, $F := T_1 X^p + T_2 Y^p + Z^p$, is, by Definition 6.D.22, the zero set of the residue classes of the partial derivatives $\partial F/\partial T_1$, $\partial F/\partial T_2$, $\partial F/\partial X$, $\partial F/\partial Y$, $\partial F/\partial Z$, i. e. of X^p, Y^p , which is of codimension 2 in $\text{Spec} A$. Since A is a complete intersection the normality of A follows from the normality criterion 6.B.4. Now, since A is normal, S is normal too.

33) p.196, in the footnote change the Footnote no. from

" 17) " to " 18) "

34) p.197, in the line 5 from above, replace

" ... of rank 2 in \mathbb{C} so as a group " by " ... of rank 2 in \mathbb{C} , (see Section 16.C in [13], for example) so as a group "