

The subring of group cohomology constructed by permutation representations*

David J. Green Ian J. Leary[†] Björn Schuster

21 April 1999

Abstract

Each permutation representation of a finite group G can be used to pull cohomology classes back from a symmetric group to G . We study the ring generated by all classes that arise in this fashion, describing its variety in terms of the subgroup structure of G .

We also investigate the effect of restricting to special types of permutation representations, such as $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acting on flags of subspaces.

Introduction

Each action of a finite group G on a set X can be used to pull back cohomology classes from the cohomology of the symmetric group on X to the cohomology of G . For example, the characteristic classes of Segal and Stretch [6] arise in this way.

We shall study the cohomology classes that come from all actions of a fixed group G by taking the ring S_h they generate and investigating its variety. In Theorem 1.5 we obtain a description of this variety in terms of the group structure of G . Typically the inclusion of S_h in the cohomology ring is not an inseparable isogeny; but it does always induce a bijection of irreducible components. Equivalently, distinct minimal prime ideals in the cohomology ring have distinct intersections with S_h . The idea of studying the variety of the cohomology ring originates in Quillen's paper [5]. Our results rely on work in [4], where two of the current authors suggest an extension of Quillen's results to certain subrings of the cohomology ring.

We also investigate what happens when we impose conditions on the G -sets by putting restrictions on the point stabilizers. In particular we show that, for

*1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 20J06.

[†]Partially funded by the Nuffield Foundation, grant no. SCI/180/96/127

large values of n , the $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ actions with parabolic stabilizers give rise to a strictly smaller subring than the subring for arbitrary actions, which in turn is strictly smaller than the whole cohomology ring.

Throughout this paper, G will be a finite group and p a prime number. We write $H^*(G)$ for the mod- p cohomology $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ of G .

1 Definitions and our main theorem

First we describe the object of study precisely.

Definition 1.1 A non-empty family \mathcal{F} of subgroups of G will be called *admissible* if it is closed under conjugation in G , and the subgroup $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{F}} H$ of G is a p' -group. A G -set X will be called an \mathcal{F} -set if each point stabilizer belongs to \mathcal{F} .

In particular, the family \mathcal{F}_h consisting of all subgroups of G is admissible, and all G -sets are \mathcal{F}_h -sets.

Definition 1.2 Each finite G -set X induces a homomorphism $\rho_X: G \rightarrow \Sigma_n$, where n is $|X|$. This induces in turn a ring homomorphism $\rho_X^*: H^*(\Sigma_n) \rightarrow H^*(G)$. Define $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ as the subring of $H^*(G)$ generated by all $\text{Im}(\rho_X^*)$ with X an \mathcal{F} -set.

We shall now determine the variety of this ring $S_{\mathcal{F}}$. The following definition is needed to state the result.

Definition 1.3 Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the category whose objects are the elementary abelian p -subgroups of G , with $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(V, W)$ the set of injective group homomorphisms $f: V \rightarrow W$ satisfying: for every $H \in \mathcal{F}$ the V -sets $f^!(G/H)$ and G/H are isomorphic. Here $f^!(G/H)$ means the following action of V on G/H :

$$k * gH = f(k)gH.$$

Remark 1.4 The category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_h}$ is identified in Lemma 2.2.

Recall that the variety $\text{var}(R)$ of a connected graded commutative \mathbb{F}_p -algebra R is the functor that assigns to each algebraically closed field k the topological space of ring homomorphisms from R to k with the Zariski topology.

Theorem 1.5 *The cohomology ring $H^*(G)$ is finitely generated as a module over $S_{\mathcal{F}}$. The restriction maps in cohomology induce a natural homeomorphism*

$$\text{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}} \text{var}(H^*(V)) \cong \text{var}(S_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Proof. Let H_1, \dots, H_r be a full set of class representatives for the conjugation action of G on \mathcal{F} . Let X be the G -set $(G/H_1) \amalg \dots \amalg (G/H_r)$, and $n = |X|$. Then

X is an \mathcal{F} -set, and the kernel of the associated group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ is a p' -group by admissibility.

Now compose ρ with the regular representation reg_{Σ_n} of Σ_n . We obtain a degree $n!$ representation of G , whose restriction to a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is a direct sum of copies of the regular representation. In particular, it is a faithful representation of P . The Chern classes of $\text{reg}_{\Sigma_n} \circ \rho$ lie in $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ as they are images under ρ^* . Hence by Venkov's proof [7] of the Evens–Venkov theorem, $H^*(P)$ is finitely generated as a module over $S_{\mathcal{F}}$. Therefore $H^*(G)$ is finitely generated too.

This representation $\text{reg}_{\Sigma_n} \circ \rho$ also restricts to every elementary abelian p -subgroup of G as a (non-zero) direct sum of copies of the regular representation, and so is p -regular in the sense of [4]. So $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ contains the Chern classes of a p -regular representation. Moreover, the ring $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ is clearly homogeneously generated and closed under the action of the Steenrod algebra. By Theorem 6.1 of [4] it follows firstly that $\text{var}(S_{\mathcal{F}})$ is a colimit of the desired form over *some* category of elementary abelians; and secondly that Lemma 1.6 identifies this category as being $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. \blacksquare

Lemma 1.6 *Let V, W be elementary abelian subgroups of G , and $f: V \rightarrow W$ an injective group homomorphism. Then f lies in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if for every $x \in S_{\mathcal{F}}$, the class $\text{Res}_V^G(x) - f^* \text{Res}_W^G(x)$ lies in the nilradical of $H^*(V)$.*

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Pick any \mathcal{F} -set Y , and let $\rho: G \rightarrow \Sigma_{|Y|}$ be the associated group homomorphism. Since the V -sets Y and $f^!(Y)$ are isomorphic, f induces a map $\rho(V) \rightarrow \rho(W)$, and this is conjugation by some $\sigma \in \Sigma_{|Y|}$. Hence $\text{Res}_V^G - f^* \text{Res}_W^G$ kills $\text{Im}(\rho^*)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \notin \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Recall that in the proof of Theorem 1.5 we constructed an \mathcal{F} -set X , such that the kernel of the associated group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \Sigma_{|X|}$ is a p' -group. By assumption on f there is some $H \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f^!(G/H), G/H$ non-isomorphic as V -sets. Define Y by

$$Y = \begin{cases} X \amalg (G/H) & \text{if } f^!(X), X \text{ isomorphic as } V\text{-sets} \\ X & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Y is an \mathcal{F} -set and V acts faithfully on $Y, f^!(Y)$, but these two V -sets are non-isomorphic.

We have thus constructed embeddings of V and W in $\Sigma_{|Y|}$, such that f is not induced by conjugation in $\Sigma_{|Y|}$. Therefore there is a class $\xi \in H^*(\Sigma_{|Y|})$ such that $\text{Res}_V^{\Sigma_{|Y|}}(\xi) - f^* \text{Res}_W^{\Sigma_{|Y|}}(\xi)$ is not nilpotent (apply the results of [4, §9] to the group $\Sigma_{|Y|}$). Moreover, these embeddings of V, W in $\Sigma_{|Y|}$ factor through $G \rightarrow \Sigma_{|Y|}$. Pulling ξ back to $H^*(G)$, we get the desired class. \blacksquare

2 Examples

Definition 2.1 We define the hereditary category \mathcal{A}_h of G to be $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_h}$, where \mathcal{F}_h is the admissible family of all subgroups of G . Write S_h for $S_{\mathcal{F}_h}$.

Recall that \sim_G denotes the equivalence relation conjugacy in G .

Lemma 2.2 *Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be an injective group homomorphism between elementary abelian subgroups of G . Then f lies in \mathcal{A}_h if and only if $f(U) \sim_G U$ for every elementary abelian $U \leq V$.*

Let \mathcal{F} be an admissible family containing all nontrivial elementary abelian p -subgroups of G . Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{A}_h$.

Remark 2.3 This property of \mathcal{A}_h is the reason for the name hereditary.

Proof. We prove the first part holds for any \mathcal{F} satisfying the conditions of the second part, not just for \mathcal{F}_h .

First suppose that U is a subgroup of V and $f(U) \not\sim_G U$. Then the V -set G/U has a point stabilized by U , but $f^!(G/U)$ does not. Hence these two V -sets are not isomorphic, and so f does not lie in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

For the if part, consider any $H \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $U \leq V$. The coset gH is fixed by U if and only if $U^g \leq H$. Since $f(U) \sim_G U$, the number of U -fixed points in $f^!(G/H)$ is the same as for G/H . It follows that the V -sets $f^!(G/H)$ and G/H are isomorphic. ■

Corollary 2.4 *The category \mathcal{A}_h is the unique largest category of elementary abelians which is closed in the sense of [4, §9], and in which objects are isomorphic if and only if they are conjugate as subgroups of G .*

Proof. Closure means that all inclusion and conjugation maps are contained in \mathcal{A}_h ; that isomorphisms lie in \mathcal{A}_h if and only if their inverses do; and that $f|_U: U \rightarrow f(U)$ lies in \mathcal{A}_h for every $f: V \rightarrow W$ in \mathcal{A}_h and every $U \leq V$. ■

Remark 2.5 It follows that “intersection with S_h ” induces a bijection from the minimal primes of $H^*(G)$ to those of S_h . Hence the irreducible components of $\text{var}(H^*(G))$ and of $\text{var}(S_h)$ are in natural one-to-one correspondence.

Definition 2.6 Let G be the general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We define the parabolic category \mathcal{A}_π to be $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_\pi}$, where \mathcal{F}_π is the collection of all parabolic subgroups of G . Write S_π for $S_{\mathcal{F}_\pi}$.

Proposition 2.7 *The parabolic category is admissible. We have*

$$\text{var}(S_h) \cong \text{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}_h} \text{var}(H^*(V)) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(S_\pi) \cong \text{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}_\pi} \text{var}(H^*(V)).$$

Proof. The upper triangular matrices constitute a parabolic subgroup, as do the lower triangular matrices. These two groups intersect in a p' -group, so \mathcal{F}_π is admissible. Apply Theorem 1.5 for the admissible families \mathcal{F}_h and \mathcal{F}_π . ■

Define the Quillen category \mathcal{A} to be the category whose objects are the elementary abelian p -subgroups of G , with morphisms induced by inclusion and conjugation. It is a well-known theorem of Quillen (see [2, §9.2]) that the restriction maps induce a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{A}} \operatorname{var}(\mathrm{H}^*(V)) \cong \operatorname{var}(\mathrm{H}^*(G)).$$

It follows from [4] that the inclusion of $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\mathrm{H}^*(G)$ induces an isomorphism of varieties if and only if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{A}$, and that $S_{\mathcal{F}_1}, S_{\mathcal{F}_2}$ have the same variety as each other if and only if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_1} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_2}$.

Example 2.8 Let p be an odd prime, and let $1 < q < p$. For any finite group G and any elementary abelian $V \leq G$, the automorphism $v \mapsto v^q$ of V lies in \mathcal{A}_h by Lemma 2.2. But in general this map does not lie in \mathcal{A} . An example is when G is abelian (and not a p' -group). For such groups, the inclusion of S_h in $\mathrm{H}^*(G)$ is not an inseparable isogeny.

Example 2.9 In Corollary 3.4, we shall see that for $n \geq 3$ and G the group $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there is a rank two elementary abelian subgroup E of G such that not all automorphisms of E lie in \mathcal{A} ; and yet all nontrivial elements of E are conjugate in G , which means that all automorphisms of E lie in \mathcal{A}_h . Hence the inclusion of S_h in $\mathrm{H}^*(G)$ is not an inseparable isogeny.

Example 2.10 In Theorem 3.6, we shall see that for $n \geq 6$ and G the group $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there are non-conjugate rank two elementary abelian subgroups of G which are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_π . Hence the varieties of S_π, S_h and $\mathrm{H}^*(G)$ are all distinct.

Example 2.11 The elementary abelian p -subgroups of G form an admissible family, as do all p -subgroups of G . If G has p -rank at least two, then we can omit the trivial subgroup in both families.

In all these cases, the category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is equal to \mathcal{A}_h by Lemma 2.2. Hence inclusion of $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ in S_h is an inseparable isogeny.

Example 2.12 Following Alperin [1], we define a subgroup H of an abstract finite group G to be parabolic if $H = N_G(O_p(H))$. That is, the parabolics are the normalizers of the p -stubborn subgroups. For $G = GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, this coincides with the normal definition of parabolic subgroup.

If $O_p(G) = 1$ then the parabolic subgroups and the p -stubborn subgroups each form admissible families, since Sylow p -subgroups are p -stubborn and $O_p(G)$ is the intersection of all Sylow p -subgroups.

For $p = 11$ the sporadic finite simple group J_4 has the trivial intersection property: distinct Sylow p -subgroups intersect trivially. Hence the parabolic subgroups are the admissible family consisting of J_4 itself and the Sylow normalizers. The action of any order p cyclic subgroup on cosets of a Sylow normalizer has one fixed point, with the remaining orbits having length p . As there are two distinct conjugacy classes of order p cyclics, the parabolic category is larger than the hereditary category. The cohomology of J_4 at the prime 11 was computed in [3].

Example 2.13 In general the subring S_h is far larger than the subring generated by Chern classes of permutation representations: i.e., the subring generated by all images of $H^*(BU(n))$ under homomorphisms $G \rightarrow \Sigma_n \rightarrow U(n)$, where Σ_n is embedded in $U(n)$ as the permutation matrices.

In [4] it was shown that the variety for this subring is the colimit over the category \mathcal{A}_P , where $f: V \rightarrow W$ lies in \mathcal{A}_P if and only if $f(U) \sim_G U$ for every cyclic subgroup U of V . This category is in general far larger than \mathcal{A}_h . For example, there are elementary abelian p -groups of rank two in $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ that are not conjugate (and hence not isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_h), but are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_P .

3 An extended example

Fred Cohen asked the third author about the subring of $H^*(GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p))$ generated by the permutation representations on flags. In our language, the question concerns the subring S_π . This question provided the starting point for the current paper. We provide a partial answer to this question by comparing the varieties for $H^*(GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p))$, S_h and S_π , which is equivalent to comparing the categories \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A}_h and \mathcal{A}_π . Recall that there are inclusions

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_h \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi.$$

Let G be the general linear group $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We show that all three categories are distinct for $n \geq 6$. The most time consuming part is showing that \mathcal{A}_π differs from \mathcal{A}_h for such n . By Corollary 2.4 it suffices to show that there are elementary abelian p -subgroups of G which are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_π but not conjugate in G . We shall find rank 2 examples using modular representation theory.

Let p be a prime number, and let A, B be generators for the rank 2 elementary abelian p -group $V \cong C_p \times C_p$. To each matrix $J \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there is an associated representation $\rho_J: V \rightarrow GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ defined by

$$A \xrightarrow{\rho_J} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad B \xrightarrow{\rho_J} \begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the identity matrix. The following lemma is well-known in the modular representation theory of V .

Lemma 3.1 *Let $J, J' \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Then the representations $\rho_J, \rho_{J'}$ are isomorphic if and only if J, J' are conjugate in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. The centralizer of $\begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ consists of all matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$. The conjugate of $\begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ under such a matrix is $\begin{pmatrix} I & J' \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ with $J' = AJA^{-1}$. ■

Lemma 3.2 *For any matrix $M \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$, the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I & M \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ is conjugate in $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to $\begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$.*

Proof. Conjugate on the right by $\begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$. ■

First we compare the categories \mathcal{A}_h and \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose there is a primitive element $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$ with minimal polynomial f such that $\theta + 1$ is not a root of f . Then the Quillen category \mathcal{A} for $G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is strictly smaller than the hereditary category \mathcal{A}_h .*

Proof. Let $J \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the matrix in rational canonical form with characteristic polynomial f . Since f is irreducible, J has no eigenvalues in \mathbb{F}_p . In particular, this means that $I + J$ lies in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The condition on the roots of f means that J and $I + J$ have distinct characteristic polynomials, and so are non-conjugate in $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Let E be $\text{Im}(\rho_J)$, the rank 2 elementary abelian generated by $a = \rho_J(A)$ and $b = \rho_J(B)$. Hence

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad ab = \begin{pmatrix} I & I + J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ϕ be the automorphism of E which fixes a and sends b to ab . By the proof of Lemma 3.1 we see that $\phi \notin \mathcal{A}$, since J and $I + J$ are not conjugate. To see that $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_h$, it suffices by Lemma 2.2 to show that $e, \phi(e)$ are conjugate in $G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for each nontrivial $e \in E$. But this follows from Lemma 3.2. ■

Corollary 3.4 *Set $n_0 = 2$ for $p \geq 3$ and $n_0 = 3$ for $p = 2$. For $G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $n \geq n_0$, the Quillen category \mathcal{A} is strictly smaller than the hereditary category \mathcal{A}_h .*

Proof. We show that there is a θ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.3. The Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$ is cyclic of order n , generated by the Frobenius automorphism. Hence $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ has the same minimal polynomial as $\theta + 1$ if and only if θ is a root of $x^{p^m} - x - 1$ for some $m < n$. Therefore there are at least $p^n - p^{n-1} - p^{n-2} - \dots - p$ elements θ of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} such that $\theta, \theta + 1$ do not have the same minimal polynomial. If $p \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$ then this exceeds p^{n-1} , and there are at most p^{n-1} non-primitive elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$: hence there exists a θ of the required form.

Now suppose that p is 2. The roots of $x^{2^m} - x - 1$ all lie in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$, and so can only be primitive elements of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2$ if $n \mid 2m$. Since $m < n$, this can only happen if $n = 2m$. So the number of $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}/\mathbb{F}_2$ such that $\theta, \theta + 1$ have distinct minimal polynomials exceeds 2^{n-1} provided $n > 2$, and there are at most 2^{n-1} non-primitives. Again, the required θ exists. ■

Now we compare the categories \mathcal{A}_π and \mathcal{A}_h . To each irreducible degree n monic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ there is an associated $(n \times n)$ -matrix J_f in rational canonical form. Define the representation $\rho_f: V \rightarrow GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to be ρ_{J_f} . By Lemma 3.1, distinct f give rise to non-isomorphic representations.

Proposition 3.5 *Let H be a parabolic subgroup of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, and let f be an irreducible degree n polynomial. The embedding ρ_f turns G/H into a V -set. The isomorphism type of this V -set does not depend on f .*

Theorem 3.6 *Set $n_0 = 5$ for $p \geq 5$ and $n_0 = 6$ for $p = 2, 3$. For $G = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $n \geq n_0$, there are rank two elementary abelian subgroups of G which are isomorphic in the parabolic category \mathcal{A}_π without being conjugate in G .*

Proof. For any pair f, g of irreducible degree n monic polynomials over \mathbb{F}_p , the isomorphism

$$\rho_g \circ \rho_f^{-1}: \text{Im}(\rho_f) \longrightarrow \text{Im}(\rho_g)$$

lies in \mathcal{A}_π by Proposition 3.5. As distinct irreducible polynomials give rise to non-isomorphic representations, the number of irreducible g such that $\text{Im}(\rho_g)$ is conjugate to a given $\text{Im}(\rho_f)$ cannot exceed $|\text{Aut}(V)| = (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)$. But for $n \geq n_0$ there are always more irreducibles than this. For the total number of irreducibles is equal to π_n/n , where π_n is the number of primitive elements in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$. We have $\pi_5 = p^5 - p$, $\pi_6 = p^6 - p^3 - p^2 + p$ and $\pi_n \geq p^n - p^{n-2}$ for $n \geq 7$. It is then straightforward to check that $\pi_n/n > (p^2 - 1)(p^2 - p)$ for $n \geq n_0$. ■

We now derive some results needed in the proof of Proposition 3.5. We take f to be a degree n irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p , and $J = J_f$ to be the associated matrix in rational canonical form.

Lemma 3.7 *Let W be a proper subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^n . Define m, r by $m = \dim(W)$ and $m + r = \dim(W + JW)$. Then there is partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ of m with length r (so $\lambda_r \geq 1$) and elements w_1, \dots, w_r of W , such that*

1. *The $J^a w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq a \leq \lambda_i - 1$ are a basis for W , and*
2. *The $J^a w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq a \leq \lambda_i$ are a basis for $W + JW$.*

We call such an r -tuple w_1, \dots, w_r a (J, λ) -base for W .

Furthermore, λ is uniquely determined by J, W ; and the number of (J, λ) -bases for W depends solely on λ .

Observe that $m + r \leq n$ and that $r \leq m$. Since J is the rational canonical form associated to an irreducible polynomial, there are no J -invariant subspaces other than 0 and \mathbb{F}_p^n . Hence $r = 0$ if and only if $m = 0$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on m . The case $m = 0$ is clear. Now suppose that $m > 0$ and the result has been proved for $\dim(W) \leq m - 1$. Set $W' = W \cap J^{-1}W$, so $\dim(W') = m - r$. Define r' by $r' = \dim(W' + JW') - \dim(W')$.

As $m > 0$ we have $m - r \leq m - 1$, so can apply the result to W' . Thus we obtain a length r' partition $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_{r'})$ of $m - r$ and an r' -tuple $w'_1, \dots, w'_{r'} \in W'$. For $1 \leq i \leq r'$ set $\lambda_i = \lambda'_i + 1$ and $w_i = w'_i$. Observe that

$$\dim(W) - \dim(W' + JW') = r - r'.$$

Pick a basis $w_{r'+1}, \dots, w_r$ for any complement of $W' + JW'$ in W , and set $\lambda_i = 1$ for $r' < i \leq r$. Then λ is a length r partition of n , and the $J^a w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $0 \leq a \leq \lambda_i - 1$ are a basis for W .

Moreover, the $J^{\lambda_i} w'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r'$ are a basis for a complement of W' in $W' + JW'$; and $w_{r'+1}, \dots, w_r$ are a basis for a complement of $W' + JW'$ in W . Hence the $J^{\lambda_i - 1} w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ are a basis for a complement of W' in W . By definition of W' , this means that the $J^{\lambda_i} w_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ are a basis for a complement of W in $W + JW$. So the w_i constitute a (J, λ) -base.

Conversely, suppose that $\mu \vdash m$ has length r , and that v_1, \dots, v_r is a (J, μ) -base for W . The elements $J^a v_i$ for $0 \leq a \leq \mu_i - 2$ are a basis for W' , the $J^{\mu_i - 1} v_i$ with $\mu_i \geq 2$ extend this to a basis for $W' + JW'$, and the v_i with $\mu_i = 1$ extend this to a basis for W . Hence the number of i with $\mu_i = 1$ is equal to $\dim(W) - \dim(W' + JW')$. Passing to W' , we deduce by induction that λ and μ are equal; and that λ alone determines the number of (J, λ) -bases w_1, \dots, w_r . ■

Lemma 3.8 *Fix J and fix partitions λ, λ' . For any proper $W \subset \mathbb{F}_p^n$ with partition λ , the number of subspaces W' of W with partition λ' depends solely on λ, λ' .*

Proof. Denote by w_i, w'_i the elements of a (J, λ) -base for W, W' respectively. Set $m = \dim(W)$ and $r = \dim(W + JW) - m$, as in Lemma 3.7.

Construct a basis b_1, \dots, b_n for \mathbb{F}_p^n as follows:

- b_1, \dots, b_m is the the basis $w_1, Jw_1, \dots, J^{\lambda_1 - 1} w_1, w_2, \dots, J^{\lambda_r - 1} w_r$ for W given by Lemma 3.7;
- b_{m+1}, \dots, b_{m+r} is the corresponding extension $J^{\lambda_1} w_1, \dots, J^{\lambda_r} w_r$ to a basis for $W + JW$;

- b_{m+r+1}, \dots, b_n is any extension to a basis for \mathbb{F}_p^n .

Consider the matrix of J for this basis: the first m columns describe the action on W , and depend solely on λ . Hence the number of (J, λ') -bases giving rise to a subspace of W with partition λ' is independent of J . Moreover, the number of (J, λ') -bases for any such W' depends solely on λ' , by Lemma 3.7. ■

Corollary 3.9 *Let λ be a partition of $m < n$. The number of proper subspaces W of \mathbb{F}_p^n with partition λ is independent of f .*

Proof. The codimension 1 subspaces of \mathbb{F}_p^n all have partition $(n-1)$: so by Lemma 3.8 each contains the same number of such W , and this number is independent of f . ■

Corollary 3.10 *Fix $0 \leq m_0 < m_1 < \dots < m_s$ and partitions $\lambda^i \vdash m_i$. The number of flags $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots \subset W_s$ of proper subspaces of \mathbb{F}_p^n in which W_i has partition λ^i is independent of f .*

Proof. The case $s = 1$ is Corollary 3.9. The general case is by induction on s using Lemma 3.8. ■

Proof of Proposition 3.5. We must show that for each parabolic subgroup $H \leq G$, the isomorphism class of the V -set structure induced on G/H by ρ_f does not depend on f . Now, two finite V -sets X, Y are isomorphic if and only if for each subgroup U of V , the sets X^U, Y^U have the same cardinality.

The case $U = 1$ is clear. For the cyclic subgroups, observe that since J has no invariant subspaces and therefore no eigenvectors, the matrix $\lambda I + \mu J$ is invertible for all $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore by Lemma 3.2, all nontrivial elements of $\text{Im}(\rho_f)$ are conjugate in $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ to each other, and so the number of fixed cosets is independent of f .

Only the hardest case remains to be proved: that the number of cosets fixed by V itself is independent of f . Recall that the parabolic subgroups in GL_{2n} are the flag stabilizers. Define the type of a flag

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_t$$

of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} to be the $(t+1)$ -tuple $(\dim(X_0), \dots, \dim(X_t))$. The flags of any given type are permuted transitively by $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Our task is to show that the number of V -invariant flags of any given type does not depend on the choice of irreducible polynomial f .

Associated to the block matrices is a splitting of \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} as $\mathbb{F}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Let $i: \mathbb{F}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^{2n}$ be inclusion as the first factor, and $j: \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^n$ projection onto the second factor. Let X be an invariant subspace of \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} , and set $W = j(X)$, $Z = i^{-1}(X)$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z + w \\ w \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} I & J \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z + Jw \\ w \end{pmatrix}.$$

We deduce that X is invariant if and only if $W + JW \subseteq Z$. In particular, the only invariant subspace with W equal to \mathbb{F}_p^n is \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} .

Clearly we may restrict our attention to invariant flags of proper subspaces. Based on Lemma 3.7, we define the *fine type* of an invariant flag $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_t$ of proper subspaces to be $(d_0, \dots, d_t; \lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^t)$, where $d_i = \dim(X_i)$, and λ^i is the partition associated to W_i . Of course, the fine type of a flag determines its type. But by Lemma 3.11, the number of flags of a given fine type is independent of f . ■

Lemma 3.11 *The number of invariant flags $X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_t$ of proper subspaces with given fine type $(d_0, \dots, d_t; \lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^t)$ does not depend on f .*

Proof. An invariant subspace X determines W , Z and a linear map $\alpha: W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^n/Z$ defined by $w + \alpha(w) \subseteq X \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^{2n} = \mathbb{F}_p^n \oplus \mathbb{F}_p^n$. Conversely, any such triple W, Z, α with $W + JW \subseteq Z$ determines an invariant X . For an invariant flag we also require that $W_i \subseteq W_j$ and $Z_i \subseteq Z_j$ for $i < j$; and that $\alpha_i(w) + Z_j = \alpha_j(w)$ for all $w \in W_i$.

By Corollary 3.10, the number of flags $W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq W_t$ with partition type $(\lambda^0, \dots, \lambda^t)$ is independent of f . The number of flags $Z_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_t$ in \mathbb{F}_p^n such that $W_i + JW_i \subseteq Z_i$ and $\dim(Z_i) = d_i - \dim(W_i)$ does not depend on the flag W_i or on f : for the type τ of the flag $W_i + JW_i$ is determined, and all flags of type τ are in the same orbit. Given flags W_i and Z_i , the number of choices for the α_i is independent of f : pick α_1 first, and pick α_{i+1} to be any extension of α_i . ■

Remark 3.12 Theorem 3.6 can be interpreted in terms of prime ideals. For an elementary abelian p -group $V \leq G$, the classes in $H^*(G)$ with nilpotent restriction to V constitute a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_V . Let V, W be elementary abelian subgroups of G which are isomorphic in \mathcal{A}_π but not conjugate in G . Then $\mathfrak{p}_V \cap S_h$ and $\mathfrak{p}_W \cap S_h$ are distinct prime ideals in S_h , but $\mathfrak{p}_V \cap S_\pi$ and $\mathfrak{p}_W \cap S_\pi$ are the same prime ideal of S_π . In the specific case constructed, V, W have p -rank 2 and lie in an elementary abelian subgroup of rank n^2 , the p -rank of G . Hence \mathfrak{p}_V and \mathfrak{p}_W have height $n^2 - 2$.

References

- [1] J. L. ALPERIN, ‘A Lie approach to finite groups’, *Groups—Canberra 1989* (ed. L. G. Kovács), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1456 (Springer, Berlin, 1990), pp. 1–9.
- [2] L. EVENS, *The Cohomology of Groups* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991).

- [3] D. J. GREEN, ‘On the cohomology of the sporadic simple group J_4 ’, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 113 (1993) 253–266.
- [4] D. J. GREEN and I. J. LEARY, ‘The spectrum of the Chern subring’, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 73 (1998) 406–426.
- [5] D. QUILLEN, ‘The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring: II’, *Ann. of Math.* 94 (1971) 573–602.
- [6] G. B. SEGAL and C. T. STRETCH, ‘Characteristic classes for permutation representations’, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 90 (1981) 265–272.
- [7] B. B. VENKOV, ‘Cohomology algebras for some classifying spaces’, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 127 (1959) 943–944.

Green & Schuster
Fachbereich 7 Mathematik
Bergische Universität GH Wuppertal
D-42097 Wuppertal
Germany

Leary
Faculty of Mathematical Studies
University of Southampton
Southampton SO17 1BJ
U.K.