

What is Typical ?

HERMANN THORISSON
UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND

JOINT WORK WITH GÜNTER LAST

POINT PROCESSES AND RANDOM GEOMETRY
BOCHUM, OCTOBER 8, 2013

Let G be a **compact** second countable topological group equipped with the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{G} .

For a measure μ on (G, \mathcal{G}) and a set $C \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mu(C) > 0$, define $\mu(\cdot | C)$ by

$$\mu(A | C) = \mu(A \cap C) / \mu(C), \quad A \in \mathcal{G}.$$

For $t \in G$, define $t\mu$ by $t\mu(A) := \mu(t^{-1}A)$, $A \in \mathcal{G}$.

Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be a **left-invariant Haar measure**. Since G is compact, λ is **finite** and also **right-invariant**.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ be the probability space supporting all random elements on this talk.

Let X be a **random element** in a space on which G acts, for instance $X = (X_s)_{s \in G}$ and $tX = (X_{t^{-1}s})_{s \in G}$.

Call X **stationary** if $tX \stackrel{D}{=} X$ for each $t \in G$.

Call S a **typical** location in G if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.
And typical location **for** X if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot | X) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.

Theorem 1: If S is a typical location for X ,
then $S^{-1}X$ is stationary.

Proof: If S is a typical location for X then so is St^{-1} for each $t \in G$. Thus $(St^{-1})^{-1}X \stackrel{D}{=} S^{-1}X$.

But $(St^{-1})^{-1}X = t(S^{-1}X)$. Thus $t(S^{-1}X) \stackrel{D}{=} S^{-1}X$.

Call S a *typical* location in G if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.
And typical location *for* X if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot | X) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.

Call S a *typical* location in G if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.
And typical location *for* X if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot | X) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.

Say the *origin* is at a typical location for X
if $S^{-1}X \stackrel{D}{=} X$ where S is a typical location for X .

Call S a *typical* location in G if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.
And typical location *for* X if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot | X) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.

Say the origin is at a typical location for X
if $S^{-1}X \stackrel{D}{=} X$ where S is a typical location for X .

Theorem 2: The origin is at a typical location for X
if and only if X is stationary.

Call S a *typical* location in G if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.
And typical location *for* X if $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot | X) = \lambda(\cdot | G)$.

Say the *origin is at* a typical location for X
if $S^{-1}X \stackrel{D}{=} X$ where S is a typical location for X .

Theorem 2: The origin is at a typical location for X
if and only if X is stationary.

Proof: Let S be typical location for X . If $S^{-1}X \stackrel{D}{=} X$
then X is stationary since $S^{-1}X$ is stationary.

Conversely, if X is stationary then $S^{-1}X \stackrel{D}{=} X$ follows
from stationarity and the independence of S and X .

Now let ξ be a nontrivial random measure on G .
Call ξ *stationary* if $t\xi \stackrel{D}{=} \xi$ for all $t \in G$.

For $t \in G$ put $t(X, \xi) = (tX, t\xi)$.

Call (X, ξ) *stationary* if $t(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$ for all $t \in G$.

Call S a *typical* location in the *mass* of ξ if

$$\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot \mid \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G)$$

and say that the **origin** is **at** a typical location in the mass of ξ if also

$$S^{-1}\xi \stackrel{D}{=} \xi.$$

Call S a typical location *for* X in the mass of ξ if

$$\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G)$$

and say that the **origin** is **at** a typical location for X in the mass of ξ if also

$$S^{-1}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi).$$

The origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ if and only if the same holds on certain sets C placed uniformly at random around the origin:

Theorem 3: The origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ if and only if for all λ -continuity sets $C \in \mathcal{G}$, $\lambda(C) > 0$,

$$(V_C^{-1}(X, \xi), U_C V_C) \stackrel{D}{=} ((X, \xi), U_C)$$

where U_C and V_C are such that

- (i) $\mathbf{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$
- (ii) $\mathbf{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid U_C^{-1}C)$.

Proof of the **only-if** claim: Assume that the origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ . Fix the set C and a measurable $f \geq 0$. We must prove that

$$\mathbf{E}[f(V_C^{-1}(X, \xi), U_C V_C)] = \mathbf{E}[f((X, \xi), U_C)]. \quad (\star)$$

Use (i) $\mathbf{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$

(ii) $\mathbf{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid U_C^{-1}C)$

to take the first step towards establishing (\star) :

$$\mathbf{E}[f(V_C^{-1}(X, \xi), U_C V_C)]$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{v \in u^{-1}C\}} f(v^{-1}(X, \xi), uv) \frac{\xi(dv)}{\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \right]$$

Take S such that $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot | X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot | G)$.

Then $S^{-1}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$ which yields the second step

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{v \in u^{-1}C\}} f(v^{-1}(X, \xi), uv) \frac{\xi(dv)}{\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{v \in u^{-1}C\}} f(v^{-1}S^{-1}(X, \xi), uv) \frac{S^{-1}\xi(dv)}{S^{-1}\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting yields the third step:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{v \in u^{-1}C\}} f(v^{-1}S^{-1}(X, \xi), uv) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{S^{-1}\xi(dv)}{S^{-1}\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \\
& = \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{S^{-1}v \in u^{-1}C\}} f((S^{-1}v)^{-1}S^{-1}(X, \xi), uS^{-1}v) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{S^{-1}\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Now use $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G)$ for the fourth step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{S^{-1}v \in u^{-1}C\}} f \left((S^{-1}v)^{-1} S^{-1}(X, \xi), u S^{-1}v \right) \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{S^{-1}\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\iiint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{s^{-1}v \in u^{-1}C\}} f \left(v^{-1}(X, \xi), u s^{-1}v \right) \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{s^{-1}\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \frac{\xi(ds)}{\xi(G)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Make variable substitution $r = us^{-1}v$ ($u = rv^{-1}s$) and use right-invariance of λ to take for the fifth step:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E} \left[\iiint 1_{\{u \in C\}} 1_{\{s^{-1}v \in u^{-1}C\}} f(v^{-1}(X, \xi), us^{-1}v) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{s^{-1}\xi(u^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(du)}{\lambda(C)} \frac{\xi(ds)}{\xi(G)} \right] \\
 & = \mathbf{E} \left[\iiint 1_{\{v^{-1}s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f(v^{-1}(X, \xi), r) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{v^{-1}\xi(r^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \frac{\xi(ds)}{\xi(G)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite to take the sixth step:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{E} \left[\iiint 1_{\{v^{-1}s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f(v^{-1}(X, \xi), r) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{v^{-1}\xi(r^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \frac{\xi(ds)}{\xi(G)} \right] \\
 & = \mathbf{E} \left[\iiint 1_{\{s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f(v^{-1}(X, \xi), r) \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{v^{-1}\xi(r^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \frac{v^{-1}\xi(ds)}{\xi(G)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Use $\mathbf{P}(S \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \xi(\cdot \mid G)$ for the seventh step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left[\iiint 1_{\{s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f(v^{-1}(X, \xi), r) \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\xi(dv)}{v^{-1}\xi(r^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(dr) v^{-1}\xi(ds)}{\lambda(C) \xi(G)} \right] \\ & = \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f(S^{-1}(X, \xi), r) \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{S^{-1}\xi(ds)}{S^{-1}\xi(r^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now, use $S^{-1}(X, \xi) \stackrel{D}{=} (X, \xi)$ for the eighth step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f(S^{-1}(X, \xi), r) \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{S^{-1}\xi(ds) \lambda(dr)}{S^{-1}\xi(r^{-1}C) \lambda(C)} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f((X, \xi), r) \frac{\xi(ds) \lambda(dr)}{\xi(r^{-1}C) \lambda(C)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite to take the ninth and tenth step:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E} \left[\iint 1_{\{s \in r^{-1}C\}} 1_{\{r \in C\}} f((X, \xi), r) \frac{\xi(ds)}{\xi(r^{-1}C)} \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\int \left(\int 1_{\{s \in r^{-1}C\}} \frac{\xi(ds)}{\xi(r^{-1}C)} \right) 1_{\{r \in C\}} f((X, \xi), r) \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\int 1_{\{r \in C\}} f((X, \xi), r) \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Finally, use (i) $\mathbf{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$ for the eleventh step:

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\int 1_{\{r \in C\}} f((X, \xi), r) \frac{\lambda(dr)}{\lambda(C)} \right] = \mathbf{E}[f((X, \xi), U_C)],$$

that is, (\star) holds:

$$\mathbf{E}[f(V_C^{-1}(X, \xi), U_C V_C)] = \mathbf{E}[f((X, \xi), U_C)]. \quad (\star)$$

We have just established the following theorem.

Theorem 3: The origin is at a typical location for X in the mass of ξ if and only if for all λ -continuity sets $C \in \mathcal{G}$, $\lambda(C) > 0$,

$$(V_C^{-1}(X, \xi), U_C V_C) \stackrel{D}{=} ((X, \xi), U_C)$$

where U_C and V_C are such that

- (i) $\mathbf{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$
- (ii) $\mathbf{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid U_C^{-1}C)$.

Now let G be only **locally compact**.

Then λ and ξ are only **σ -finite**

so the previous typicality definitions do not work.

Definition 1: Say that the **origin** is at a *typical* location *for* X in the *mass* of ξ if for all relatively compact λ -continuity sets $C \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\lambda(C) > 0$,

$$(V_C^{-1}(X, \xi), U_C V_C) \stackrel{D}{=} ((X, \xi), U_C)$$

where U_C and V_C are such that

(i) $\mathbf{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$

(ii) $\mathbf{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid U_C^{-1}C)$.

Definition 1: Say that the origin is at a *typical* location *for* X in the *mass* of ξ if for all relatively compact λ -continuity sets $C \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\lambda(C) > 0$,

$$(V_C^{-1}(X, \xi), U_C V_C) \stackrel{D}{=} ((X, \xi), U_C) \quad (\star)$$

where (i) $\mathbf{P}(U_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi) = \lambda(\cdot \mid C)$

(ii) $\mathbf{P}(V_C \in \cdot \mid X, \xi, U_C) = \xi(\cdot \mid U_C^{-1}C)$.

The condition (\star) is exactly the property used in Last and Thorisson (Ann. Probab. 2009) to define *mass-stationarity*: (X, ξ) is called mass-stationary if the origin is a typical location for X in the mass of ξ in the sense of **Definition 1**.

Recall that we are now **only**
assuming that G is locally compact.

Theorem: (X, λ) is mass-stationary
if and only if
 X is stationary

Thus mass-stationarity is a generalization of the
concept of stationarity.

Recall that we are now **only**
assuming that G is locally compact.

Theorem: (X, λ) is mass-stationary
if and only if
 X is stationary

Thus mass-stationarity is a generalization of the
concept of stationarity. **In general:**

Recall that we are now **only**
assuming that G is locally compact.

Theorem: (X, λ) is mass-stationary
if and only if
 X is stationary

Thus mass-stationarity is a generalization of the
concept of stationarity. **In general:**

Theorem: (X, ξ) is mass-stationary
if and only if
 (X, ξ) is the **Palm version** of a stationary (Y, η)

Recall that a pair (X, ξ) is called a *Palm version* of a stationary pair (Y, η) if for all measurable $f \geq 0$ and all compact $A \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\lambda(A) > 0$,

$$\mathbf{E}[f(X, \xi)] = \mathbf{E} \left[\int_A f(t^{-1}(Y, \eta)) \eta(dt) \right] / \lambda(A).$$

In this definition (X, ξ) and (Y, η) are allowed to have distributions that are only σ -finite and not necessarily probability measures.

The distribution of (X, ξ) is finite if and only if η has finite intensity, that is, if and only if $\mathbf{E}[\eta(A)] < \infty$ for compact A . In this case the distribution of (X, ξ) can be normalized to a probability measure.