

# ON UNIVERSALLY STABLE ELEMENTS

Ian J. Leary, Björn Schuster, and Nobuaki Yagita

**Abstract.** We show that certain subrings of the cohomology of a finite  $p$ -group  $P$  may be realised as the images of restriction from suitable virtually free groups. We deduce that the cohomology of  $P$  is a finite module for any such subring. Examples include the ring of ‘universally stable elements’ defined by Evens and Priddy, and rings of invariants such as the mod-2 Dickson algebras.

Let  $P$  be a finite  $p$ -group, and let  $\mathcal{C}_u$  be the category whose objects are the subgroups of  $P$ , with morphisms all injective group homomorphisms. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_u$  such that  $P$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and such that for any object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the inclusion of  $Q$  in  $P$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $H^*(\cdot)$  stand for mod- $p$  group cohomology, which may be viewed as a contravariant functor from  $\mathcal{C}_u$  to  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebras. We shall study the limit  $I(P, \mathcal{C})$  of this functor:

$$I(P, \mathcal{C}) = \lim_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} H^*(Q).$$

Given our assumptions on  $\mathcal{C}$ , we may identify  $I(P, \mathcal{C})$  with a subring of  $H^*(P)$ . In the final remarks we discuss generalizations of our results in which most of the conditions that we impose upon  $P$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $H^*(\cdot)$  are weakened.

The classical case of this construction occurs in Cartan-Eilenberg’s description of the image of the cohomology of a finite group in the cohomology of its Sylow subgroup as the ‘stable elements’ [2]. Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $P$  as its Sylow subgroup, and let  $\mathcal{C}_G$  be the subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_u$  containing all the objects, but with morphisms only those homomorphisms  $Q$  to  $Q'$  induced by conjugation by some element of  $G$ . Then the image,  $\text{Im}(\text{Res}_P^G)$ , of  $H^*(G)$  in  $H^*(P)$  is equal to  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_G)$ .

Rings of invariants also arise in this way. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category whose only object is  $P$ , with morphisms a subgroup  $H$  of  $\text{Aut}(P)$ , then  $I(P, \mathcal{C})$  is just the subring  $H^*(P)^H$  of invariants under the action of  $H$ .

Another case considered already, which motivated our work, is the ring of universally stable elements defined by Evens-Priddy in [4]. Let  $\mathcal{C}_s$  be the subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_u$  generated by all subcategories of the form  $\mathcal{C}_G$  as defined above. Then  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_s)$  is the subring  $I(P)$  of  $H^*(P)$  introduced in [4], consisting of those elements of  $H^*(P)$  which are in the image of  $\text{Res}_P^G$  for every finite group  $G$  with Sylow subgroup  $P$ .

A fourth case of interest is  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_u)$ , which might be viewed as the elements of  $H^*(P)$  which are ‘even more stable’ than the elements of  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_s)$ . It is easy to see that in general  $\mathcal{C}_s$  is strictly contained in  $\mathcal{C}_u$ . For example, the endomorphism monoid  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_s}(P, P)$  of  $P$  is the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(P)$  generated by elements of order coprime to  $p$ , whereas  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_u}(P, P)$  is the whole of  $\text{Aut}(P)$ . Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $P$  be a finite  $p$ -group, and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_u = \mathcal{C}_u(P)$  satisfying the conditions stated in the first paragraph. Then there exists a discrete group  $\Gamma$  containing  $P$  as a subgroup such that:*

- a)  $\text{Im}(\text{Res}_P^\Gamma)$  is equal to  $I(P, \mathcal{C})$ ;
- b)  $(\text{Ker}(\text{Res}_P^\Gamma))^2$  is trivial;
- c)  $\text{Res}_P^\Gamma$  induces an isomorphism from  $H^*(\Gamma)/\sqrt{0}$  to  $I(P, \mathcal{C})/\sqrt{0}$ ;
- d)  $\Gamma$  is virtually free. More precisely,  $\Gamma$  has a free normal subgroup of index dividing  $|P|!$ .

If  $\Gamma'$  is a free normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of finite index, then  $P$  maps injectively to the finite group  $\Gamma/\Gamma'$ , so by the Evens-Venkov theorem [5],  $H^*(P)$  is a finite module for  $H^*(\Gamma/\Gamma')$  and hence *a fortiori* for  $H^*(\Gamma)$ . Thus one obtains the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $P$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be as in the statement of Theorem 1. Then  $H^*(P)$  is a finite module for its subring  $I(P, \mathcal{C})$ .*

The case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_s$  is Theorem A of [4]. Our result is stronger, since it applies to categories such as  $\mathcal{C}_u$  itself, and our proof is more elementary. There is an even shorter proof of Corollary 2 however, which is to deduce it from the following simpler theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $P$  be a finite  $p$ -group, and let  $G$  be the symmetric group on a set  $X$  bijective with  $P$ . Regard  $P$  as a subgroup of  $G$  via a Cayley embedding (or regular permutation representation). Then  $\text{Im}(\text{Res}_P^G)$  is contained in  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_u)$ .*

To deduce Corollary 2 from Theorem 3, note that for any  $\mathcal{C}$  as above, one has

$$\text{Im}(\text{Res}_P^G) \subseteq I(P, \mathcal{C}_u) \subseteq I(P, \mathcal{C}) \subseteq H^*(P),$$

and  $H^*(P)$  is a finite module for  $\text{Im}(\text{Res}_P^G)$  by the Evens-Venkov theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We deduce Theorem 3 from the following group-theoretic lemma.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $Q \leq P \leq G$  be as in the statement of Theorem 3, and let  $\phi$  be any injective homomorphism from  $Q$  to  $P$ . Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that for all  $q \in Q$ ,  $\phi(q) = gqg^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a bijection between  $P$  and the set  $X$  permuted by  $G$ . This fixes an embedding  $i_P$  of  $P$  in  $G$ . Let  $i_Q$  be the induced inclusion of  $Q$  in  $G$ . Write  ${}^{i_P}X$  for  $X$  viewed as a

$P$ -set. Thus  ${}^i P X$  is a free  $P$ -set of rank one. There are two ways to view  $X$  as a  $Q$ -set, either via  $i_Q$  or  $i_P \circ \phi$ . The  $Q$ -sets  ${}^i Q X$  and  ${}^{i_P \circ \phi} X$  are both free of rank equal to the index,  $|P : Q|$ , of  $Q$  in  $P$ . Let  $g$  be an isomorphism of  $Q$ -sets between  ${}^i Q X$  and  ${}^{i_P \circ \phi} X$ . Then  $g$  is an element of  $G$  having the required property, because for each  $x \in X$  and  $q \in Q$ ,  $g \cdot q \cdot x = \phi(q) \cdot g \cdot x$ .  $\square$

Returning now to the proof of Theorem 3, any morphism in  $\mathcal{C}_u$  factors as the composite of an isomorphism followed by an inclusion. Thus it suffices to show that for  $\phi$  as in Lemma 4,  $\text{Res}_Q^G$  and  $\phi^* \circ \text{Res}_P^G$  are equal. Writing  $c_g$  for the automorphism of  $G$  given by conjugation by  $g$ , we have shown that there exists  $g$  such that  $c_g \circ i_Q = i_P \circ \phi$ . But  $c_g^*$  is the identity map on  $H^*(G)$ , and hence  $i_Q^* = \phi^* \circ i_P^*$  as required.  $\square$

This completes the proofs of all of our statements except for Theorem 1. For the proof of Theorem 1 we recall the following theorem (see for example [3], I.7.4 or IV.1.6).

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a group that acts simplicially (i.e., without reversing any edges) on a tree with all stabilizer groups of order dividing a fixed integer  $M$ . Then there is a homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  to the symmetric group on  $M$  letters whose kernel,  $K$ , is torsion-free. Since  $K$  acts freely, simplicially on the tree, it follows that  $K$  is a free group.*

In fact, the short direct proof of Theorem 3 is based on some of the ideas in the proof of Theorem 5 given in [3].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The group  $\Gamma$  will be constructed as the fundamental group of a graph of groups (see [3], I.3, [6], I.5, or [1], VII.9 for the definitions and basic theorems). Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_M$  be the objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N$  be the morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Define a function  $m$  so that the domain of  $\phi_i$  is  $Q_{m(i)}$ . Now let  $\Gamma$  be the group generated by the elements of  $P$  and new elements  $t_1, \dots, t_N$  subject to all relations that hold in  $P$ , together with the relations

$$t_i q t_i^{-1} = \phi_i(q),$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  and all  $q \in Q_{m(i)}$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of a graph of groups with one vertex and  $N$  edges. The vertex group is of course  $P$  and the  $i$ th edge group is  $Q_{m(i)}$ . The two maps from the  $i$ th edge group to the vertex group (corresponding to its initial and terminal ends) are the inclusion and  $\phi_i$ .

The group  $\Gamma$  as defined above has the following properties (see any of the references listed above):  $P$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma$ ; for each  $i$ , the homomorphism  $\phi_i: Q_{m(i)} \rightarrow P$  is inner in  $\Gamma$  (i.e., is induced by conjugation by the element  $t_i$ );  $\Gamma$  acts simplicially on a tree  $T$ , with one orbit of vertices and  $N$  orbits of edges, with  $P$  being a vertex stabilizer and  $Q_{m(i)}$  being the stabilizer of some edge in the  $i$ th orbit. The quotient  $T/\Gamma$  is the graph used in defining  $\Gamma$ .

Recall from [1], VII.7–VII.9 that for any  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex  $X$ , there is a spectral sequence, with  $E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{\sigma} H^q(\Gamma_{\sigma})$ , where the sum is over a set of orbit representatives of  $p$ -cells in  $X$ . For coefficients in a ring with trivial  $\Gamma$ -action (such as the field of  $p$  elements), this is a spectral sequence of rings. When  $X$  is acyclic the spectral sequence converges to a filtration of  $H^{p+q}(\Gamma)$ . We apply this spectral sequence in the case when  $X = T$ . In this case

$$E_1^{0,q} \cong H^q(P), \quad E_1^{1,q} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^N H^q(Q_{m(i)}),$$

and  $E_1^{p,q} = 0$  for  $p > 1$ . Under this isomorphism the differential  $d_1 : E_1^{0,q} \rightarrow E_1^{1,q}$  has  $i$ th coordinate  $\text{Res}_{Q_{m(i)}}^P - \phi_i^*$ , and so  $E_2^{0,*}$  is isomorphic to  $I(P, \mathcal{C})$ . The fact that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for  $p > 1$  implies that the spectral sequence collapses at the  $E_2$ -page. The edge homomorphism from  $E_{\infty}^{0,*}$  to  $H^*(P)$  may be identified with  $\text{Res}_P^{\Gamma}$  (consider the map of spectral sequences induced by the inclusion of the vertex set of the tree in the whole tree, viewed as a map of  $\Gamma$ -spaces), and so a) is proved. For b), note that since  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for  $p > 1$ , elements of  $E_2^{1,*}$  uniquely determine elements of  $H^*(\Gamma)$ , and the product of any two such elements is zero in  $H^*(\Gamma)$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(\text{Res}_P^{\Gamma})$  may be identified with  $E_2^{1,*}$ , b) follows, and c) follows immediately from b). Finally, d) follows from Theorem 5 stated above.  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1) There are alternatives to using the equivariant cohomology spectral sequence in the proof of Theorem 1, but following a suggestion of the referee we decided to explain just one method in detail in the proof. Since the spectral sequence has only two non-zero rows it is essentially just a long exact sequence. This long exact sequence may be obtained by applying  $H^*(\Gamma; \cdot)$  to the augmented chain complex for the tree  $T$ , modulo an application of the Eckmann-Shapiro lemma. We felt, however, that the ring structure of  $H^*(\Gamma)$  is more easily understood in terms of the spectral sequence.

2) We believe that  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_u)$  has some advantages over  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_s)$ . Both of these rings enjoy the finiteness property stated in Corollary 2. To compute  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_s)$  one needs to know something about the  $p$ -local structure of all groups with Sylow subgroup  $P$ , whereas  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_u)$  requires only knowledge of  $P$ .

3) On the other hand,  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_u)$  does not retain much information concerning  $P$ . Let  $W(P)$  be the variety of all ring homomorphisms from  $I(P, \mathcal{C}_u)$  to an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Then  $W(P)$  is determined up to homeomorphism by the  $p$ -rank of  $P$ : If  $P$  has  $p$ -rank  $n$ , then  $W(P)$  is homeomorphic to  $k^n/GL_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$ , and if  $E$  is an elementary abelian subgroup of  $P$  of rank  $n$ , then the induced map from  $W(E)$  to  $W(P)$  is an homeomorphism. These assertions concerning  $W(P)$  follow easily from Quillen's

theorem describing the variety of homomorphisms from  $H^*(P)$  to  $k$  (see for example [5], chap. 9). Note that this is the only place where we use Quillen's theorem.

4) The definitions and theorems that we state remain valid if  $P$  is any finite group. We restrict to the case when  $P$  is a  $p$ -group only because this is the case occurring naturally in the work of Cartan-Eilenberg and Evens-Priddy.

5) The reader may have noticed that Theorems 1 and 3 work perfectly well for cohomology with coefficients in any ring  $R$  (viewed as a trivial  $P$ -module). Corollary 2 is valid for cohomology with coefficients in any ring  $R$  for which the Evens-Venkov theorem holds (see [5], 7.4 for a general statement).

6) The easiest way to relax the restrictions on the category  $\mathcal{C}$  is to consider arbitrary finite categories with objects finite groups and morphisms injective group homomorphisms (it is unhelpful to view the groups as subgroups of a single group if the inclusion maps are not in the category). Define  $I(\mathcal{C})$  to be the limit over this category and for any group  $\Gamma$ , define  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$  to be the category of finite subgroups of  $\Gamma$ , with morphisms inclusions and conjugation by elements of  $\Gamma$ . Then one obtains

**Theorem 1'.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a connected finite category of finite groups and injective homomorphisms. Then there exists a discrete group  $\Gamma$  and a natural transformation from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$  such that  $\Gamma$  and the induced map from  $H^*(\Gamma)$  to  $I(\mathcal{C})$  satisfy properties a) to d) of Theorem 1.*

Recall that a category is said to be connected if the equivalence relation on objects generated by 'there is a morphism from  $Q$  to  $Q'$ ' has exactly one class. Note that there cannot be a direct analogue of Theorem 1 unless the category  $\mathcal{C}$  is connected, since the degree zero part of  $I(\mathcal{C})$  is an  $\mathbf{F}_p$  vector space of dimension the number of components of  $\mathcal{C}$ , whereas  $H^0(\Gamma) \cong \mathbf{F}_p$ . The proof of Theorem 1' is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1, except that one creates a graph of groups with one vertex for every object of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The restriction to connected categories is not serious, since given any category  $\mathcal{C}$  as above, one may make a connected category  $\mathcal{C}^+$  by adding a trivial group to  $\mathcal{C}$  as an initial object (i.e., add one new object, a trivial group, and one morphism from this object to every other object). The natural map from  $I(\mathcal{C}^+)$  to  $I(\mathcal{C})$  is an isomorphism, except in degree zero.

The analogue of Corollary 2 in this generality, for which  $\mathcal{C}$  need not be assumed to be connected, is:

**Corollary 2'.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category of finite groups and injective homomorphisms. Then  $\prod_{Q \in \mathcal{C}} H^*(Q)$  is a finite module for  $I(\mathcal{C})$ .*

7) The following instance of Theorem 1 seems worthy of special note. Let  $P$  be an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $n$ , let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category whose only object is  $P$  and whose morphisms are the group  $GL(n, \mathbf{F}_2)$ . Then  $H^*(B\Gamma)$  is a ring whose radical is invariant under the action of the Steenrod algebra, and  $H^*(B\Gamma)/\sqrt{0}$  is isomorphic to the Dickson algebra  $D_n = \mathbf{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{GL(n, \mathbf{F}_2)}$ . On the other hand it is known that for  $n \geq 6$ ,  $D_n$  itself cannot be the cohomology of any space [7].

**Acknowledgements.** This work was done when the authors met at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica. The authors gratefully acknowledge the hospitality and support of the CRM. The first- and second-named authors were supported by E.C. Leibniz Fellowships, at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik and at the CRM respectively.

## References.

- [1] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **87**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1982).
- [2] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1955).
- [3] W. Dicks and M. J. Dunwoody, *Groups acting on graphs*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **17**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1989).
- [4] L. Evens and S. Priddy, The ring of universally stable elements, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **40** (1989), 399–407.
- [5] L. Evens, *Cohomology of groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, New York (1991).
- [6] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1980).
- [7] L. Smith and R. M. Switzer, Realizability and non-realizability of the Dickson algebras as cohomology rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1983), 303–313.

I. J. Leary, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, 53225 Bonn, Germany.

From Jan. 1996: Faculty of Math. Studies, Univ. of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, England.

B. Schuster, CRM, Institut d’Estudis Catalans, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain.

From Jun. 1996: Fachbereich 7 Mathematik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaußstr. 20, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany.

N. Yagita, Faculty of Education, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki, Japan.