PSEUDO-ROTATIONS WITH SUFFICIENTLY LIOUVILLEAN ROTATION NUMBER ARE C^0 -RIGID.

BARNEY BRAMHAM

ABSTRACT. It is an open question in smooth ergodic theory whether there exists a Hamiltonian disk map with zero topological entropy and (strong) mixing dynamics. Weak mixing has been known since Anosov and Katok first constructed examples in 1970. Currently all known examples with weak mixing are irrational pseudo-rotations with Liouvillean rotation number on the boundary. Our main result however implies that for a dense subset of Liouville numbers (strong) mixing cannot occur. Our approach involves approximating the flow of a suspension of the given disk map by pseudoholomorphic curves. Ellipticity of the Cauchy-Riemann equation allows quantitative L^2 -estimates to be converted into C^0 -estimates between the pseudoholomorphic curves and the trajectories of the flow on growing time scales. Arithmetic properties of the rotation number enter through these estimates.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	6
3.	Proof of C^0 -rigidity	8
4.	Proof of theorem 2	11
Appendix A.		14
References		16

1. Introduction

1.1. **Statement of results.** Pesin theory [19] shows that, at least in low dimensions, smooth conservative systems with positive metric entropy have ergodicity on sets of positive measure. It is a delicate question how "wild" a smooth system can be if on the other hand it has vanishing metric entropy, or stronger, vanishing topological entropy.

In 1970 Anosov and Katok [2] constructed examples of smooth Hamiltonian disk maps which, despite having zero topological entropy, were ergodic. They even found weak mixing examples. The next level in the ergodic hierarchy, that of mixing¹, has remained an open question. In the very interesting article of Fayad and Katok [10] this is stated as Problem 3.1 as follows:

¹From here on we use exclusively the term *mixing* as defined in equation (3). There are sources in the literature where this notion is referred to as strong mixing, e.g. [22].

QUESTION 1. Does there exist a mixing area preserving diffeomorphism of the closed 2-disk $D = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ with zero topological (or metric) entropy?

Since 1970 the picture has somewhat clarified, and we recall the developments².

First, the analogous question for surfaces of higher genus was answered affirmatively in the 70's. In 1972 Blohin [5] showed that for any compact surface of genus $g \geq 1$, besides the Klein bottle, with a smooth area form, there exists an ergodic diffeomorphism with respect to the induced measure, having vanishing topological entropy. This was strengthened to mixing by Kočergin [18] in 1975. The Blohin and Kočergin examples are not only zero entropy but time-1 maps of flows, and therefore do not apply in genus zero; by Poincaré-Bendixon theory no flow on the disk (or 2-sphere) can be ergodic, let alone mixing, with respect to Lebesgue measure.

To describe the developments in genus zero, where the literature seems to be primarily on the disk, we recall the following definition.

Definition 1. An *irrational pseudo-rotation* is an area and orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}^{\infty}(D)$ of the closed 2-disk fixing the origin and having no other periodic points.

Note that the circle map obtained by restricting an irrational pseudorotation to the boundary of the disk automatically has irrational rotation number, hence the terminology.

Irrational pseudo-rotations are the source of all known Hamiltonian disk maps with zero topological entropy that display weak mixing (or even ergodicity), and is therefore the natural place to look for mixing examples. Work of Herman and Fayad-Saprykina however refine the search for mixing examples much further.

To describe these results let \mathcal{A}_{α} denote the set of irrational pseudorotations having rotation number α on the boundary of the disk, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is irrational. Recall that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *Liouville number* if it is irrational and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime for which

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{q^k}.$$

 $^{^2}$ In fact the seeds of this question originate much earlier in 1930 with a construction of Shnirelman [21]. He found a homeomorphism of the disk (not measure preserving) with a dense orbit. Apparently Ulam was also interested in questions of this nature. In the Scottish Book [20], circa 1935, problem 115, Ulam asks whether there exists a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n with a dense orbit. Besicovitch answered this affirmatively for tranformations of the plane [3] in 1951. Shnirelman's construction is discussed also in [10].

In this paper we will denote the set of all Liouville numbers by \mathcal{L} . These form a dense set of measure zero in \mathbb{R} . The set of irrational numbers not in \mathcal{L} are called Diophantine, and we will denote these by \mathcal{D} .

Anosov and Katok [2] showed that for α in a dense subset of the Liouville numbers \mathcal{A}_{α} contains a weakly mixing element. For α a Diophantine number Herman showed in unpublished work, although see also [11], that every element of \mathcal{A}_{α} has invariant circles near the boundary of the disk, and in particular cannot be mixing in any sense. Finally in 2005 Fayad and Saprykina [12] constructed weak mixing examples in \mathcal{A}_{α} for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$.

To summarize then, the current situation for disk maps is that \mathcal{A}_{α} contains a weak mixing disk map if and only if α is a Liouville number.

Our results apply to the following subset of the Liouville numbers. We say that an irrational number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is in \mathcal{L}_* if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime, such that

$$\left|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{e^{kq}}.$$

Remark 1. \mathcal{L}_* is a dense subset of \mathcal{L} , see appendix A.2.

Using pseudoholomorphic curve techniques from symplectic geometry we will prove the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi: D \to D$ be an irrational pseudo-rotation with boundary rotation number in \mathcal{L}_* . Then φ is C^0 -rigid. That is, there exists a sequence of iterates φ^{n_j} that converge to the identity map in the C^0 -topology as $n_j \to \infty$.

As an immediate consequence we have:

Corollary 1. If φ is an irrational pseudo-rotation with its boundary rotation number in \mathcal{L}_* then φ is not mixing.

Remark 2. In fact such a φ is not even topologically mixing. Recall that a transformation $\varphi: D \to D$ is topologically mixing if for all non-empty open sets $U, V \subset D$, $\varphi^{-n}(U) \cap V$ is non-empty for all n sufficiently large. This is implied by mixing with respect to Lebesgue measure.

To see how corollary 1 follows from theorem 1 we recall the notion of mixing. Let μ denote Lebesgue measure on the disk, normalized so that $\mu(D) = 1$. A μ -measure preserving map $\varphi : D \to D$ is said to be mixing if

(3)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\varphi^{-n}(A) \cap B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$$

for any pair of Lebesgue measurable sets $A, B \subset D$. In comparison, φ is weak mixing if

(4)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| \mu(\varphi^{-j}(A) \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B) \right| = 0$$

for all Lebesgue measurable $A, B \subset D$.

Proof of corollary 1. Let φ be an irrational pseudo-rotation with boundary rotation number in \mathcal{L}_* . By theorem 1, $\varphi^{n_j} \to \mathrm{id}_D$ in the C^0 -topology for some subsequence $n_j \to +\infty$. Therefore also $\varphi^{-n_j} \to \mathrm{id}_D$. Take any two disjoint compact measurable sets $A, B \subset D$, each having non-zero measure. These are a positive distance apart. For all j sufficiently large $\varphi^{-n_j}(A)$ is therefore disjoint from B. On the other hand $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$. So the limit in (3) cannot hold, and therefore φ cannot be mixing.

Theorem 1 raises the question whether irrational pseudo-rotations with arbitrary Liouvillean rotation number are C^0 -rigid. Furthermore, naively it would seem at least as plausible for Diophantine numbers, since the Liouvillean case typically permits "wilder" behavior. This suggests then the following open question.

QUESTION 2. Is every irrational pseudo-rotation C^0 -rigid? In other words, if $\varphi: D \to D$ is any irrational pseudo-rotation, must there exist a sequence of iterates φ^{n_j} converging to the identity map in the C^0 -topology?

Note that answering this question affirmatively for Diophantine rotation numbers is a necessary condition for an affirmative answer to the following question of Herman, which remains open. Herman [14] in 1998 asked:

QUESTION 3. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$ is Diophantine, is every element of \mathcal{A}_{α} smoothly conjugate to the rigid rotation $R_{2\pi\alpha}$?

Fayad and Krikorian [11] have answered Herman's question affirmatively for irrational pseudo-rotations sufficiently close, in a differentiable sense, to the rigid rotation with the same rotation number.

1.2. Using a PDE to approximate an ODE. The idea of the proof of theorem 1 can be described well on the level of partial and ordinary differential equations.

Denote by $\omega_0 = dx \wedge dy$ the standard area form on the closed unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}^{\infty}(D, \omega_0) := \{\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}^{\infty}(D) \mid \varphi^*\omega_0 = \omega_0\}$ be an irrational pseudo-rotation and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the rotation number on the boundary. Let $H^t \in C^{\infty}(D, \mathbb{R})$ be a closed loop of Hamiltonians generating φ , over $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Denote the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields on D by X_{H^t} . Informally we find a sequence of "approximating" (also time-dependent) vector fields on the disk, which converge in C^0 ,

$$(5) X_n^t \to X_{H^t}$$

as $n \to \infty$. The trajectories of X_n^t will close up in time n by construction. If the convergence is sufficiently fast this behavior will be reflected in the trajectories of X_{H^t} , and the identity map will be an accumulation point for the sequence $\{\varphi^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the vector field X_n^t arises as follows. One can "fill" the disk by a family \mathcal{M}_n of solutions $z : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \to D$ to the Floer equation

(6)
$$\partial_s z(s,t) + i \Big(\partial_t z(s,t) - X_{H^t}(z(s,t)) \Big) = 0.$$

These solutions arise from a foliation of the 4-manifold $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \times D$ by pseudoholomorphic curves constructed in [6]. For each t a unique solution passes through every point of the disk, and informally we can define a time-dependent vector field $\{X_n^t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}$ on D, by declaring

$$X_n^t(z_n(s,t)) := \partial_t z_n(s,t)$$

for all the solutions z_n in \mathcal{M}_n . The trajectories of this vector field are all n-periodic because of the cylindrical domain of each z_n . The convergence in (5) arises as follows. We can suggestively rewrite equation (6) as

(7)
$$\partial_s z_n + i \left(X_n^t(z_n) - X_{H^t}(z_n) \right) = 0.$$

It turns out that for such a solution z_n , there is a nice expression for the L^2 -norm of the first term in (7). Indeed, if we arrange for the right boundary behavior for the solutions z_n then

$$\int_{s=0}^{\infty} \int_{t=0}^{n} \left| \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial s}(s,t) \right|^2 ds dt = \{n\alpha\}\pi,$$

where $\{n\alpha\}$ denotes the fractional part of $n\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Since α is irrational there exists a subsequence $\{n_j\alpha\}\to 0$ as $j\to\infty$. Thus, the left-most term in (6) decays to zero uniformly in an L^2 -sense for such a subsequence. Using the ellipticity of the equation this can be strengthened to convergence in an L^∞ -sense (in fact in a C^∞ -sense but we do not use this). From (7) it follows that $|X_{n_j}^t - X_{H_t}|_{L^\infty} \to 0$ as $j\to\infty$.

The Liouvillean condition on the rotation number α enters the estimates because the rate of convergence on growing time scales depends on the rate at which $\{n_i\alpha\} \to 0$.

1.3. Acknowledgements. I am greatly indebted to Helmut Hofer for many valuable discussions regarding the result in this article, the ideas that lead up to it, and for helpful comments on earlier versions of this paper. I would also like to thank Anatole Katok for a number of very helpful discussions. His generous expertise in this area was greatly appreciated and helped my understanding of many questions that relate to this article. I also thank Federico Rodriguez Hertz for discussions with him on a visit to Penn State, and Vadim Kaloshin for helpful conversations at Maryland.

On a visit to the ENS Paris several people spent generous amounts of their time, especially Alain Chenciner, Jacques Féjoz, and Frédéric Le Roux. The ensuing valuable discussions were much appreciated. And I would particularly like to thank Claude Viterbo for the invitation and for spending considerable time in helpful conversations.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge the wonderful working environment at the IAS Princeton where this article was written. I have benefitted from conversations here with many people. I would particularly like to mention Abed Bounemoura, Hakan Eliasson, Álvaro Pelayo, Kris Wysocki, and Edi Zehnder.

This work is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under agreement No. DMS-0635607. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

2. Preliminaries

We will study an irrational pseudo-rotation $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}^{\infty}(D, \omega_0)$ as generated by a time-dependent Hamiltonian $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times D, \mathbb{R})$. This means the following. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ abbreviate

$$H^t := H(t, \cdot) \in C^{\infty}(D, \mathbb{R})$$

and let X_{H^t} be the unique C^{∞} -smooth vector field on D satisfying

$$\omega_0(X_{H^t}(\xi),\cdot) = -dH^t(\xi)$$

at $\xi \in D$. If this vector field is tangent to the boundary of the disk then it generates a 1-parameter family of symplectic diffeomorphisms

$$\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \phi_H^t \in \mathrm{Diff}^\infty(D, \omega_0)$$

where for each $\xi \in D$, the curve $t \mapsto \phi_H^t(\xi)$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\phi_H^t(\xi) = X_{H^t}(\phi_H^t(\xi)) \\ \phi_H^0(\xi) = \xi. \end{cases}$$

In particular $\phi_H^0 = \mathrm{id}_D$. We say that the Hamiltonian H generates the disk map φ if $\phi_H^1 = \varphi$. It is well known that any element of $\mathrm{Diff}^\infty(D,\omega_0)$ can be generated in this manner by some suitable Hamiltonian H^3 . In particular, any irrational pseudo-rotation.

So suppose that $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times D, \mathbb{R})$ generates a given irrational pseudorotation $\varphi \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(D, \omega_0)$. By assumption φ has a unique fixed point $0 \in D$, and without loss of generality we may find H so that $0 \in D$ is a rest point for each vector field X_{H^t} , $t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the unique n-periodic solution $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to D$ to $\dot{\gamma}(t) = X_{H^t}(\gamma(t))$ is the constant trajectory $\gamma(t) \equiv 0 \in D$.

The map $\varphi: D \to D$ restricts to an orientation preserving diffeomorphism on the boundary circle, $\varphi|_{\partial D}: \partial D \to \partial D$. By assumption $\varphi|_{\partial D}$ has no periodic points and therefore its rotation number $\text{Rot}(\varphi) \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is irrational.

 $^{{}^3\}text{If }\psi\in \text{Diff}^\infty(D,\omega_0)$ is the identity map on the boundary up to first order, meaning that $\hat{\psi}(\xi)=\xi$ and $D\hat{\psi}(\xi)=\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ for all $\xi\in\partial D$, then an isotopy from ψ to id_D in $\text{Diff}^\infty(D)$ can be converted to a symplectic isotopy using a Moser type argument. For a general map $\psi\in \text{Diff}^\infty(D,\omega_0)$ the first step therefore is to symplectically "straighten up" at the boundary. That is, find a symplectic isotopy from ψ to a map $\hat{\psi}\in \text{Diff}^\infty(D,\omega_0)$ where $\hat{\psi}$ is the identity map on the boundary up to first order. This latter is easy to arrange by an almost explicit choice of Hamiltonian.

Since we have also fixed a Hamiltonian H generating φ , this allows us to associate a preferred real number, that we will denote by

$$Rot(\varphi; H) \in \mathbb{R},$$

with the property that the induced element on the circle $[\text{Rot}(\varphi; H)] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is equal to $\text{Rot}(\varphi)$. See definition 5 in [6].

Let
$$\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$$
. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{M}(H,n)$$

denote the set of solutions $z \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}, D)$ to the Floer equation

(8)
$$\partial_s z(s,t) + i \Big(\partial_t z(s,t) - X_{H^t}(z(s,t)) \Big) = 0$$

for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where *i* is the standard complex structure on *D* inherited from the complex plane, which satisfy the following asymptotic and boundary conditions:

(9)
$$\begin{cases} \lim_{s \to \infty} z(s,t) = 0 & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \\ z(0,t) \in \partial D & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \\ z(0,\cdot) : \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \partial D & \text{has degree } \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor. \end{cases}$$

Note that the asymptotic condition that the loops $z(s,\cdot) \to 0$ in $C^0(\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}, D)$ as $s \to \infty$ is equivalent to finiteness of the Floer energy of z:

(10)
$$E_{\text{Floer}}(z) := \int_{s=0}^{\infty} \int_{t=0}^{n} \left| \partial_{s} z(s,t) \right|^{2} + \left| \partial_{t} z(s,t) - X_{H^{t}}(s,t) \right|^{2} ds dt,$$

because there is only one *n*-periodic orbit of the Hamiltonian vector field. The norm in the integrand is from the standard Euclidean metric. Similarly, in the rest of the paper, all Sobolev and C^r function spaces for maps into $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are with respect to the standard Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^2 .

The existence result we use is the following.

Theorem 2. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the space of solutions $\mathcal{M}(H, n)$ is non-empty, and the following holds:

- Filling property: For all $p \in D \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a solution $z \in \mathcal{M}(H, n)$ such that p lies in the image of z.
- L^2 -estimates: For all $z \in \mathcal{M}(H, n)$,

(11)
$$\|\partial_s z\|_{L^2([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}^2 = \{n\alpha\}\pi$$

where for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{x\} \in [0,1)$ denotes its fractional part.

• \mathbb{C}^{∞} -bounds: Let n_j be a subsequence for which $\{n_j\alpha\} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $b_r \in (0,\infty)$ such that

(12)
$$\|\nabla z\|_{C^r([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}/n_j\mathbb{Z})} \le b_r$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{M}(H, n_i)$, uniformly in j.

These solutions arise from a foliation of the 4-manifold $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \times D$ by pseudoholomorphic curves; the disk component of each solution to the Cauchy-Riemann equation satisfies this Floer equation if the former has finite energy (Gromov's trick in reverse). We explain this in section 4, and thus how theorem 2 follows from a construction in [6].

Remark 3. The number α in theorem 2 can be any irrational; no Liouville condition is assumed at this point.

To use this to prove our main result we will need two lemmas. The first is the following Sobolev type inequality.

Lemma 3. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists c > 0, so that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$,

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}}^2 \le c||f||_{L^2}||f||_{W^{1,\infty}}$$

for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^d)$. We emphasize that c is independent of n.

This is proven in appendix A.1. The second lemma we require is:

Lemma 4. Suppose that $x:[0,T] \to [0,\infty)$ is a continuous function, some $T \ge 0$, for which there exist constants $a,b \ge 0$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$

(13)
$$x(t) \le a + b \int_0^t x(s) ds.$$

Then

$$(14) x(t) \le ae^{bt}$$

for all $t \in [0,T]$.

This is a version of the familiar Gronwall inequality. For a proof see for example lemma 6.1 in [1].

3. Proof of C^0 -rigidity

In this section we prove theorem 1. Recall that this said the following:

Theorem 5. If $\varphi: D \to D$ is a pseudo-rotation with rotation number in \mathcal{L}_* then $\varphi^{n_j} \to \mathrm{id}_D$ in the C^0 -topology, for some sequence of integers $n_j \to +\infty$.

Remark 4. Obviously a necessary condition for φ in theorem 5 to be rigid in this sense is that its restriction to the boundary $\varphi|_{\partial D}:\partial D\to\partial D$ be rigid. Indeed by Denjoy's theorem [9] any sufficiently smooth (e.g. C^2) orientation preserving diffeomorphism $f:\partial D\to\partial D$ with irrational rotation number is topologically conjugate to a rigid rotation and therefore C^0 -rigid. Clearly this line of argument will not apply for the general pseudo-rotations as any ergodic example cannot be conjugated to a rigid rotation.

Our main tool in the proof is theorem 2 from the previous section. To use this we fix a Hamiltonian $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times D, \mathbb{R})$ whose time-one map is the given pseudo-rotation φ . By assumption the rotation number of the

circle map $\varphi|_{\partial D}$ is an element of $\mathcal{L}_*/\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. With respect to H we have a canonical lift

$$\alpha := \operatorname{Rot}(\varphi; H) \in \mathcal{L}_* \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

As $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is irrational every point in the interval [0,1] is an accumulation point of its sequence of fractional parts $\{\{n\alpha\}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. In particular zero is and so there exists a subsequence $n_j \to \infty$ such that $\{n_j\alpha\} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.

Since moreover α belongs to the subset of Liouville numbers \mathcal{L}_* we know that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $(p_j, n_j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$0 < \left| \alpha - \frac{p_j}{n_j} \right| < \frac{1}{e^{jn_j}}.$$

Taking a further subsequence we may assume that one of the following holds: either

$$(15) {n_j \alpha} \le \frac{n_j}{e^{jn_j}}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, or

$$1 - \{n_j \alpha\} \le \frac{n_j}{e^{jn_j}}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Replacing φ by its inverse φ^{-1} if necessary, we can assume from here on that (15) holds for our pseudo-rotation. Note that this is not a problem for our argument because if we prove that φ^{-1} is C^0 -rigid then it follows immediately that φ itself is C^0 -rigid.

Recall that, given the Hamiltonian H, we defined for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(H,n)$, see (8) and (9). In particular, if $z_j \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n_j\mathbb{Z}, D)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(H,n_j)$ then

$$\partial_s z_j + i \left(\partial_t z_j - X_{H^t}(z_j) \right) \equiv 0$$

(16)
$$\|\partial_s z_j\|_{L^2}^2 = \{n_j \alpha\} \pi$$

for some constant $b \in (0, \infty)$ depending only on the Hamiltonian H.

Proof of theorem 5. We break this down into three steps. All functional norms are on the entire domain, e.g. $\|\partial_s z_j\|_{L^2} = \|\partial_s z_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n_j\mathbb{Z})}$.

Step 1: By the interpolation inequality lemma 3 there exists $c \in (0, \infty)$ independent of everything, so that

$$\|\partial_s z_j\|_{L^{\infty}}^2 \le c \|\partial_s z_j\|_{L^2} \|\partial_s z_j\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$$

for all $z_j \in \mathcal{M}(H, n_j)$. So by (16) and (17),

$$\|\partial_s z_j\|_{L^{\infty}}^2 \le c \{n_j \alpha\}^{1/2} \pi^{1/2} b.$$

Thus,

$$\|\partial_s z_i\|_{L^\infty} \le M\{n_i \alpha\}^{1/4}$$

where $M=(cb)^{1/2}\pi^{1/4}$ is a constant depending only on the loop of Hamiltonians H^t .

Step 2: Let $p \in D \setminus \{0\}$. For each j there exists a solution $z_j \in \mathcal{M}(H, n_j)$ whose image contains p. This was from theorem 2. Thus, after reparameterizing if necessary, $z_i(s, 0) = p$ for some $s = s_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $t \mapsto \phi_H^t(p)$ be the 1-parameter family of diffeomorphisms on the disk generated by the loop of Hamiltonians H^t . For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$z_j(s,t) - \phi_H^t(p) = \int_0^t \partial_\tau z_j(s,\tau) - X_{H^\tau}(\phi_H^\tau(p)) d\tau.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} |z_{j}(s,t) - \phi_{H}^{t}(p)| &\leq \int_{0}^{t} |\partial_{\tau}z_{j}(s,\tau) - X_{H^{\tau}}(\phi_{H}^{\tau}(p))| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} |\partial_{\tau}z_{j}(s,\tau) - X_{H^{\tau}}(z_{j}(s,\tau))| d\tau + \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} |X_{H^{\tau}}(z_{j}(s,\tau)) - X_{H^{\tau}}(\phi_{H}^{\tau}(p))| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} |\partial_{s}z_{j}(s,\tau)| d\tau + \int_{0}^{t} \|DX_{H^{\tau}}\| |z_{j}(s,\tau)) - \phi_{H}^{\tau}(p)| d\tau \\ &\leq A_{j}t + B \int_{0}^{t} |z_{j}(s,\tau)| - \phi_{H}^{\tau}(p)| d\tau \end{split}$$

where $B = \max_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \max_{\xi \in D} \| \operatorname{Hess}(H^{\tau})(\xi) \|$ and

$$A_i = \|\partial_s z_i\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality lemma 4

$$|z_j(s,t) - \phi_H^t(p)| \le A_j t e^{Bt}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. In particular, as z_j is n_j -periodic in the t-variable, $|p - \varphi^{n_j}(p)| = |z_j(s,0) - \phi_H^{n_j}(p)| = |z_j(s,n_j) - \phi_H^{n_j}(p)| \leq A_j n_j e^{Bn_j}$. By step 1 we have an estimate on A_j , from which we obtain

$$|p - \varphi^{n_j}(p)| \le M\{n_j\alpha\}^{1/4} n_j e^{Bn_j}.$$

The right hand side is independent of $p \in D \setminus \{0\}$, and so

(18)
$$d_{C^0}(\varphi^{n_j}, id_D) \le M\{n_j \alpha\}^{1/4} n_j e^{Bn_j}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 3: It remains to use the Liouville condition on α . Substituting (15) into (18) we obtain

$$d_{C^0}(\varphi^{n_j}, \mathrm{id}_D) \le M \frac{n_j^{1/4}}{e^{jn_j/4}} n_j e^{Bn_j}.$$

The right hand side decays to zero because B is finite. This completes the proof of theorem 5.

4. Proof of theorem 2

In this section we explain how theorem 2 follows from the existence of certain finite energy foliations constructed in [6]. A finite energy foliation is a foliation whose leaves are the images of pseudo-holomorphic curves having so called "finite energy". For compact leaves this goes back to Gromov [13]. The development for non-compact leaves as we require here was initiated by Hofer [15] and Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder see for example [16, 17]. More references can be found in [6].

4.1. From the disk to mapping tori. On the symplectic manifold $(D, \omega_0 = dx \wedge dy)$ we have a time-dependent Hamiltonian $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times D, \mathbb{R})$ generating a given irrational pseudo-rotation $\varphi : D \to D$. That is, φ is the time-one map of the 1-parameter family of symplectic diffeomorphisms generated by a path of Hamiltonian vector fields X_{H^t} on the disk, as described in section 2.

Consider the autonomous vector field on the infinite tube $Z_{\infty} := \mathbb{R} \times D$;

$$R(\tau, z) := \partial_{\tau} + X_{H^{\tau}}(z)$$

at $(\tau, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times D$. The transformation $\mathcal{T}: Z_{\infty} \to Z_{\infty}$, $(\tau, z) \mapsto (\tau - 1, z)$ leaves R invariant due to the periodicity of $H^t = H(t, \cdot)$ in the t-variable. Therefore, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, R descends to a vector field R_n on the quotient space

$$Z_n := \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \times D$$
,

and by a slight abuse of notation we will write (τ, z) for coordinates on Z_n . The disk slice $\{0\} \times D \subset Z_n$ is a global Poincaré section to the flow of R_n and the first return map is the n-th iterate of the pseudo-rotation $\varphi^n : D \to D$.

4.2. To almost complex manifolds. To each mapping torus (Z_n, R_n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we associate an almost complex 4-manifold (W_n, J_n) as follows. Set

$$W_n := \mathbb{R} \times Z_n = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \times D$$

equipped with coordinates (a, τ, z) . Let J_n be the unique almost complex structure on W_n characterized by the conditions

$$\begin{cases} J_n(a, \tau, z)\partial_{\mathbb{R}} = R_n(\tau, z) \\ J_n(a, \tau, z)|_{TD} = i \end{cases}$$

for all $(a, \tau, z) \in W_n$, where $\partial_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the vector field dual to the \mathbb{R} -coordinate on W_n . Recall that i is the standard complex structure on D as a subspace of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Consider the 2-tori

$$L_c := \{c\} \times \partial Z_n = \{c\} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \partial D$$

over $c \in \mathbb{R}$, which foliated the boundary of W_n . Each L_c is totally real with respect to J_n . That is, $T_pL_c \oplus J_n(p)(T_pL_c) = T_pW_n$ for all $p \in L_c$.

4.3. The pseudoholomorphic curves. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mathcal{M}(J_n)$$

denote the set of solutions $\tilde{u}=(a,\tau,z)\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z},W_n)$ to the Cauchy-Riemann equation

(19)
$$\partial_s \tilde{u}(s,t) + J_n(\tilde{u}(s,t))\partial_t \tilde{u}(s,t) = 0$$

for all $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$, with the following boundary conditions: there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}(0,t) \in L_c & \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \\ z(0,\cdot) : \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \partial D & \text{has degree } \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor \\ \tau(0,\cdot) : \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} & \text{has degree } +1, \end{cases}$$

and which additionally satisfy the following finite energy conditions

$$E_{\lambda}(\tilde{u}) < \infty$$
 and $E_{\omega}(\tilde{u}) < \infty$

which we explain now. If \tilde{u} is a solution to (19) then the following integrals have well defined values in $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$.

$$E_{\lambda}(\tilde{u}) := \sup_{\psi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{u}^{*} \Big(\psi(a) da \wedge d\tau \Big)$$

where the supremum is taken over all $\psi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ for which $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(s) ds = 1$.

$$E_{\omega}(\tilde{u}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{u}^* \omega_n$$

where ω_n is the differential 2-form $\omega_n := dx \wedge dy + d\tau \wedge dH$ on Z_n . Indeed, the pull-back 2-forms $\tilde{u}^* \left(\psi(a) da \wedge d\tau \right)$ and $\tilde{u}^* \omega_n$ are pointwise non-negative multiples of $ds \wedge dt$ on the domain $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 5. These two energies $E_{\lambda}(\tilde{u})$ and $E_{\omega}(\tilde{u})$ come from the compactness theory in [4] developed for symplectic field theory where they are defined for pseudoholomorphic maps in much more general settings. In particular if M is an oriented compact 3-manifold equipped with a pair of differential forms (ω, λ) with the following properties: (1) ω is a closed 2-form, λ is a 1-form, (2) $\lambda \wedge \omega > 0$, (3) $\ker(\omega) \subset \ker(d\lambda)$. Such a pair (ω, λ) is called a stable Hamiltonian structure on M, see [8] for examples and properties. Then there is a suitable class of so called cylindrical, symmetric almost complex structures on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ which satisfy a compatibility condition with ω and λ . With respect to such almost complex structures there are two notions of energy for a pseudoholomorphic curve \tilde{v} , commonly written $E_{\omega}(\tilde{v})$ and $E_{\lambda}(\tilde{v})$. The spaces of curves with a uniform bound on both of these energies enjoy a nice compactness theory. In this paper M is $Z_n = \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \times D$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the stable Hamiltonian structure on Z_n is (ω_n, λ_n) where ω_n is the 2-form above and $\lambda_n = d\tau$.

4.4. To Floer trajectories. Recall the following relation between pseudo-holomorphic curves with finite λ -energy and solutions to Floer's equation. For a proof see lemma 6 in [6].

Lemma 6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose $\tilde{u} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}, W_n)$. Then $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}(J_n)$ if and only if

$$\tilde{u}(s+s_0, t+t_0) = (s, t, z(s, t))$$

for some $z \in \mathcal{M}(H,n)$ and $(s_0,t_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The energies are then related by

$$\begin{cases} E_{\lambda}(\tilde{u}) = n \\ E_{\omega}(\tilde{u}) = E_{\text{Floer}}(z), \end{cases}$$

where $E_{\text{Floer}}(z)$ is the energy from Floer theory defined by (10).

Because of this lemma, theorem 2 which is a statement about the spaces $\mathcal{M}(H, n)$, is an immediate consequence of the following statement about the spaces $\mathcal{M}(J_n)$.

Theorem 7. Let φ be an irrational pseudo-rotation, and H a generating Hamiltonian. Let $\alpha := \text{Rot}(\varphi; H)$ be the real valued rotation number on the boundary. So $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is irrational. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a foliation \mathcal{F}_n of $W_n = \mathbb{R} \times Z_n$ by surfaces which can be described as follows:

• The cylinder

$$C_n := \{(a, \tau, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times Z_n \mid a \in \mathbb{R}, \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a leaf in \mathcal{F}_n .

• Each leaf in \mathcal{F}_n besides C_n is the image of a solution $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}(J_n)$ satisfying

(20)
$$E_{\omega}(\tilde{u}) = \{n\alpha\}\pi.$$

• Let n_j be a subsequence for which $\{n_j\alpha\} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $B_r \in (0,\infty)$ such that for all $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}(J_{n_j})$,

(21)
$$\|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{C^r(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n_j \mathbb{Z})} \le B_r$$

uniformly in j.

Proof. The existence of each \mathcal{F}_n as a foliation whose leaves consist of the cylinder C_n and half cylinders parameterized by elements of $\mathcal{M}(J_n)$ is immediate from theorem 8 in [6]. That each $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}(J_n)$ satisfies $E_{\omega}(\tilde{u}) = \{n\alpha\}\pi$ is lemma 9 in [6]. Finally the uniform C^r -bounds (21) follow from corollary 24 and proposition 22 in [6].

Appendix A.

A.1. A Sobolev inequality. In this section we prove lemma 3. We first consider maps with domain the half plane $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, and then modify for cylinders $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$. It is important for us that the Sobolev constant be independent of the period n of the domain.

All function spaces will implicitely mean real valued functions, e.g. $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$. Then lemma 3 for maps into \mathbb{R}^d will follow by applying the conclusions to each component. As usual, $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ means elements of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ having compact support.

Lemma 8. There exists C > 0 so that

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \le C||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}||Df||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The same statement holds if we replace \mathbb{R}^2 by $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with no boundary conditions required.

Proof. Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $p \geq 0$ a real number, and $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then

$$|f(x,y)|^{p+1} \le \int_{-\infty}^{x} |\partial_{1}(f(s,y))^{(p+1)}| ds$$

$$(22) \qquad \le (p+1) ||\partial_{1}f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s,y)|^{p} ds.$$

Applying this with p = 3,

(23)
$$|f(x,y)|^4 \le 4||Df||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s,y)|^3 ds.$$

Instead, switching variables and applying (22) with p = 2, yields

(24)
$$|f(s,y)|^3 \le 3||Df||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s,t)|^2 dt$$

for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting (24) into (23),

$$|f(x,y)|^4 \le 12||Df||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s,t)|^2 dt \right) ds$$
$$= 12||Df||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 ||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.$$

Square rooting both sides we are done. When the domain is $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ the same argument goes through almost word for word (this time integrating from $+\infty$ to x to obtain equation (22)).

Lemma 9. There exists c > 0 so that for all $n \ge 1$,

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}^{2} \leq c||f||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}||f||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}$$

for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})$. The same statement holds if we replace $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with no boundary conditions required.

Proof. Let $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\bar{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a lift of f. Then

$$\bar{f}(x, y + n) = \bar{f}(x, y)$$

for all $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} \bar{f}(x,y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Pick a smooth "cut-off function" $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ having support in (-1,n+1), and identically equal to 1 on [0,n], and such that $|\chi'(t)| \leq 2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$g(x,y) := \chi(y)\bar{f}(x,y).$$

Then $g|_{\mathbb{R}\times[0,n]}\equiv \bar{f}|_{\mathbb{R}\times[0,n]}$. Let C>0 be the embedding constant from lemma 8. Then,

$$||g||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \le C||g||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}||Dg||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \|\bar{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,n])}^{2} &= \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,n])}^{2} \\ &\leq C\|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}\|Dg\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} \\ &\leq C\|\bar{f}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times[-n,2n])} \left(\|\chi'\bar{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} + \|\chi(D\bar{f})\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}\right) \end{split}$$

using that $n \geq 1$,

$$\leq 3C\|\bar{f}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,n])} \left(2\|\bar{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} + \|D\bar{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}\right)$$

$$\leq 6C\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})} \left(\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})} + \|Df\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}\right).$$

In other words.

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}^{2} \leq 6C||f||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}||f||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z})}$$

as required. The same argument applies when the domain is $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z}$. \square

A.2. \mathcal{L}_* is dense in \mathbb{R} . We refer to (2) in the introduction for the definition of \mathcal{L}_* . The following is a well known argument for spaces like \mathcal{L}_* .

Lemma 10. \mathcal{L}_* is a dense G_{δ} subset of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For each $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define the following punctured open neighborhood of $p/q \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathcal{O}_k(p,q) := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \left| \ 0 < \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{e^{kq}} \right\}. \right.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following countable union is therefore also an open subset of \mathbb{R} ,

$$\mathcal{U}_k := \bigcup_{(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}, \ (p,q)=1} \mathcal{O}_k(p,q).$$

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then each rational number $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}$ lies in the closure of \mathcal{U}_k since if $\omega = p/q$ in lowest form then ω lies in the closure of $\mathcal{O}_k(p,q)$. Thus, as the rationals are dense in \mathbb{R} , \mathcal{U}_k must be dense in \mathbb{R} . This applies to all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so

$$\mathcal{L}_* = igcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_k$$

is a countable intersection of open dense subsets of the complete metric space \mathbb{R} . By the Baire category theorem \mathcal{L}_* is therefore dense in \mathbb{R} .

References

- [1] H. Amann, Ordinary differential equations: an introduction to non-linear analysis. Translated from the German by Gerhard Metzen. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 13. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1990.
- [2] D. V. Anosov, A. B. Katok, New examples in smooth ergodic theory. Ergodic diffeomorphisms. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 23 (1970), 1-35
- [3] A. Besicovitch, A problem on topological transformations of the plane. II. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 47, (1951). 38-45.
- [4] F. Bourgeois, Y. Eliashberg, H. Hofer, K. Wysocki and E. Zehnder, Compactness results in symplectic field theory. Geom. Topol. 7 (2003), 799-888 (electronic).
- [5] A. Blohin, Smooth ergodic flows on surfaces, (Russian) Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 27 (1972), 113-128 = Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (English trans.) 27 (1972), 117-134.
- [6] B. Bramham, Periodic approximations of irrational pseudo-rotations using pseudoholomorphic curves, arXiv:1204.4694.
- [7] B. Bramham, H. Hofer, First steps towards a symplectic dynamics. Surveys in Differential Geometry, Vol. 17 (2012), 127-177.
- [8] K. Cieliebak, E. Volkov, First steps in stable Hamiltonian topology. Journal of the European Mathematical Society, to appear.
- [9] A. Denjoy. Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles à la surface du tore. J. Math. 11 (iv) (1932), 333-375.
- [10] B. Fayad, A. Katok, Constructions in elliptic dynamics. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 24 (2004), no. 5, 1477-1520.
- [11] B. Fayad, R. Krikorian, Herman's last geometric theorem, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 42 (2009), 193-219
- [12] B. Fayad, M. Saprykina, Weak mixing disc and annulus diffeomorphisms with arbitrary Liouville rotation number on the boundary. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 38 (2005), 339-364.
- [13] M. Gromov, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, Invent. Math. 82 (1985) 307-347
- [14] M. Herman, Some open problems in dynamical systems. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998). Doc. Math. 1998, Extra Vol. II, 797-808
- [15] H. Hofer, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations with applications to the Weinstein conjecture in dimension three. Invent. Math. 114 (1993), 515-563
- [16] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, E. Zehnder, The dynamics on three-dimensional strictly convex energy surfaces. Ann. of Math. (2) 148 (1998), no. 1, 197-289.
- [17] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, E. Zehnder, Finite energy foliations of tight threespheres and Hamiltonian dynamics, Ann. Math. (2) 157 (1) (2003) 125-255.
- [18] A. Kočergin, Mixing in special flows over a rearrangement of segments and in smooth flows on surfaces, (Russian) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 96 (138) (1975), 471-502.
- [19] Ya. Pesin, Characteristic Ljapunov exponents, and smooth ergodic theory. Russian Math. Surveys 32 (1977), no. 4 (196), 55-112.
- [20] Scottish Book, http://kielich.amu.edu.pl/Stefan_Banach/e-scottish-book.html.

- [21] L. G. Shnirelman, An example of a transformation of the plane (Russian). Proc. Don Polytechnic Inst (Novochekassk) 14 (Science section, Fis-math. part) (1930), 64-74.
- [22] P. Walters, An introduction to ergodic theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 79. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.

Barney Bramham, Ruhr-Universität, Bochum, 44780 Germany E-mail address: barney.bramham@rub.de